## RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at
Building 141-A, Basement Conference Room, Fort
McClellan, Alabama, on the 15th day of July, 1996,
commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.

SAI	AHTNAN	F	NOBLE	NOBLE	۶	ASSOCIATES
-----	--------	---	-------	-------	---	------------

REPORTER'S INDEX

CAPTION SHEET	1	
REPORTER'S INDEX	2	
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD	3-152	
CERTIFICATE	153-154	

1	MR. TURNER: Shall we come to.
2	Good evening and welcome to the RAB. For those of you
3	that don't know me, I'm Charles Turner. This is Ron
4	Levy, the DoD's co-chair. It's good to see everybody.
5	Let's start off calling the role.
6	I'm here. Mr. Levy?
7	MR. LEVY: Here.
8	MR. TURNER: Mr. Anderson?
9	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
10	MR. TURNER: Mr. Brown? Mr.
11	Conroy? Dr. Cox? Mr. Cunningham?
12	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right here.
13	MR. TURNER: Mr. Elser?
14	MR. ELSER: Here.
15	MR. TURNER: Ms. Harrington?
16	MS. HARRINGTON: Here.
17	MR. TURNER: Mr. Hood?
18	MR. HOOD: Here.
19	MR. TURNER: Mr. Kimbreau? Ms.
20	Longstreth?
21	MS. LONGSTRETH: Here.
22	MR. TURNER: Mr. Miller?
23	Mr. Moore? Mr. Parks?

1.0522 4 1.0500111120

1	MR. PARKS: Here.
2	MR. TURNER: Mr. Perez?
3	MR. PEREZ: Here.
4	MR. TURNER: Mr. Thomassy?
5	MR. THOMASSY: Here.
6	MR. TURNER: Mr. West? Mr. Reedy?
7	MR. REEDY: Here.
8	MR. TURNER: Mr. Johnson? Let's
9	see, has everybody had a chance to review the minutes?
10	Did everybody get a copy of them?
11	Any corrections, editions, or
12	deletions? Is there a motion to approve?
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll make the
14	motion.
15	MR. ANDERSON: I second it.
16	MR. TURNER: All in favor? Motion
17	carried.
18	We've got a guest speaker tonight.
19	Ron, would you introduce him?
20	MR. LEVY: Yes. We've got Chris
21	Manikas from SAIC, Science Applications International
22	Corporation. They have been our contractor for the
23	past, let's, see, is it three years, now, Chris?

1	MR. MANIKAS: Since '91.
2	MR. LEVY: Longer than that.
3	Working investigations, site investigations, remedial
4	investigations on post. They've been focusing on
5	chemical, old chemical training sites and landfills.
6	He's going to talk to you about where we stand on some
7	of those investigations, what kind of things have come
8	out of those investigations. Chris?
9	MR. MANIKAS: As Ron mentioned, I'm
10	going to be speaking to you about some programs that
11	were implemented before we decided to close the base.
12	These were part of the IRP program on Fort McClellan.
13	And there were a couple of containments that were
14	started under Sur-Club, a site investigation that led
15	into an RIFS of a sub-site of the initial sites.
16	As I just mentioned, in response to
17	Ron's questions, we've been working out here since
18	1991, which is when the initial investigations began
19	on the seventeen sites that the Army prioritized,
20	based on a PA study that identified sixty-two areas on
21	the main post and on the Pelham Range.
22	So, out of the sixty-two, we
23	targeted in on seventeen of those sites. This is some

1	information that you're probably all well aware of.
2	The primary players, from the Army's perspective, for
3	our investigations, have been, Ron Levy has been here
4	the entire time of our involvement; Dean Hutchens, who
5	is from the U. S. Army Environmental Center and will
6	be here at some point this evening; and then myself.
7	I'm Christopher Manikas. All of us have basically
8	been involved since the beginning, so we have a very
9	good continuity on the project, which I think has
10	enhanced it.
11	The initial seventeen sites that we
12	looked at are these. I'll try not to get in front of
13	this thing. Just let me know if I'm in somebody's
14	way.
15	Area T-4, which was a biological
16	simulate testing area. Area T-5 was an area they used
17	to detect and identify chemical warfare agents. Area
18	T-6 was an area where they inundated machinery,
19	equipment, with agent and then went through
20	decontamination protocols on the equipment. Area 24
21	Alpha was an explosive ordnance training area. T-31
22	was a technical escort training area, where they
23	trained on how to handle emergencies with chemical

1	warfare agents. Area T-38, a similar type of area,
2	technical escort training. The old toxic training
3	area, it's basically a strip about the size of this
4	where they apparently did some training for testing
5	for HD, a chemical warfare agent. The DNI area,
6	again, detection for HD and GB.
7	MR. THOMASSY: Does the difference
8	in color have a meaning on that?
9	MR. MANIKAS: Yes. I'll get to
10	that.
11	Three landfill sites that were used
12	from the 40s on, at various times. Landfill number
13	three looks like it has been the most used. HD spill
14	and burial sites that were situated along the main
15	post, those sites were actually not ever investigated,
16	because there was very little information to
17	substantiate the locations. Nothing could be
18	documented. We basically had some cartoon sketches of
19	where they might have been.
20	There is really very little to go
21	on, so, those sites were dropped out very quickly.
22	All of those were on the main post.
23	Range I, which was a shell tapping

area, chemical warfare agents, as well as range K, a
similar area. Range J is part of a larger training
area where they do smoke training on Pelham Range.
And there was an HD spill on the main post, back in
the 50s or 60s that was cleaned up and all the soil
was drummed up and taken out to Pelham and placed
within a fenced area, which is now called range J, for
our purposes.
Range L, an old water hole, are two
depressions out in Pelham Range that were thought to
contain chemical munitions from World War II, but as
you'll see later on from our investigations, we found
nothing to substantiate that there was anything buried
out there, at all.
The red indicates the sites that
were carried forward. These are the original
seventeen sites. The red are the sites that were
carried forward to RIFSs and the remainder of the
sites were dropped, following the site investigation,
sites were dropped, following the site investigation, the initial investigation.

standpoint, since Pelham Range stays with the Guard,

1	stays in federal hands
2	MR. THOMASSY: Last five.
3	MR. LEVY: Excuse me, last five
4	won't really be addressed through this process.
5	MR. MANIKAS: These are the
6	locations of the sites on the main post. Here is area
7	T-4, T-5, T-6, the DNI area, area 24 Alpha, T-38,
8	T-31, landfill one, landfill three, landfill two.
9	That's all of them. There were twelve on the main
10	post.
11	MR. LEVY: We will, at some point,
12	take you out and show you some of these sites. That's
13	actually on our training roster that we handed out
14	during the last meeting, of sites to be toured and
15	look at, so we'll get you on the ground and have you
16	look at those sites.
17	MR. TURNER: Yes, sir. I've got a
18	question. You identify some trailer parks on this
19	map, north of the reservation boundary on Anniston
20	Beach Road. Are those of significance?
21	MR. MANIKAS: This map was provided
22	by the Army and it's just that they were already on
23	there. They were not removed. It's just a location

1	map.
2	And I guess, just for your
3	information, these are the sites out on Pelham. Three
4	of them are on the western side of Pelham and two of
5	them are on the eastern side. So, they're all really
6	separated. You know, it's a hull to get between each
7	of the sites.
8	These are the parameters that we
9	looked at for each study area that was carried forward
10	into the RIFS.
11	MR. THOMASSY: Excuse me. Ron, I
12	just heard part of what you said. You said those last
13	five sites are on Pelham and they are controlled by
14	the national guard, so they're not in this process?
15	MR. LEVY: They still fall in the
16	process of clean-up purposes. But since we're only
17	looking at clean up of that land which will be
18	conveyed over to the community, this board won't have
19	a whole lot to do with that.
20	However, if we desire to go and
21	look at that, I'm sure we can do that, too.
22	MR. THOMASSY: No. I just wanted
23	to make sure I understood what you said.

1	MR. MANIKAS: A couple of points to
2	make up front are that, you know, that our studies are
3	not comprehensive for all of Fort McClellan. They're
4	not representative of the entire post.
5	They're representative of the
6	individual areas that we looked at. The post is now
7	part of the BRAC process. And I guess the entire post
8	is under scrutiny at this point.
9	And also, the information that I'm
10	going to show you is currently in a draft stage. The
11	report was presented to the Army in August of '95.
12	And we're discussing right now a scope of work to go
13	back and to do some more work at some of the sites
14	that we think need extra work.
15	You'll also notice, there will be
16	samples of the Town of Weaver's wells. They have two
17	production wells. They came out clean. We didn't
18	find anything. They are relatively deep, a hundred
19	and fifty to three hundred feet, which is also
20	protective of their quality.
21	Let's jump right into the sites.
22	Here is a photograph in 1973 of area T-4. It still
23	looks substantially the same. This is gone. We could

1	not locate this monument, at all. The area has been
2	reworked a little bit with bulldozers by the Army.
3	The tree line and the stand of trees over in here is
4	like a little island in the middle. It still looks
5	substantially the same as it did in this photograph.
6	It's a relatively small site. It's only about a
7	quarter of a acre.
8	MR. PEREZ: You know, that area was
9	used prior to 1965.
10	MR. MANIKAS: T-4?
11	MR. PEREZ: Uh-huh. I personally
12	used it.
13	MR. MANIKAS: For?
14	MR. PEREZ: Biological.
15	MR. GARY HARVEY: In fact, I
16	remember going back to (Inaudible.)
17	COURT REPORTER: I can't hear who
18	is talking back there.
19	MR. TURNER: This is Gary Harvey,
20	base transition coordinator, Sam.
21	MR. PEREZ: '61, '62, '63, I
22	personally used it for my people.

MR. MANIKAS: Basically, we've gone

1	with documented occurrences. So, I'm sure there are
2	plenty of undocumented activities that have gone on
3	there.
4	MR. REEDY: That's not to say that
5	if somebody I've just written down what you just
6	said. And if somebody else has, you know, personal
7	experience at one of the sites, I would urge you to go
8	ahead and speak up, as we go through this. The more
9	information we have, the better.
10	MR. MANIKAS: This is a map of the
11	area. The location of the site was based on
12	coordinates provided by the Army. The little circles
13	you see on here, we went out there and did a
14	geophysical survey over the entire area. And the
15	little circles are where we had anomalies. And the
16	size of the circle is proportional to the size of the
17	anomaly. So, there are some very metallic materials
18	out there that will have to be characterized.
19	The triangular areas are sample
20	locations where we did chemical warfare agent
21	screening with the technical escort unit and found
22	nothing out here. The site was not examined for
23	biological materials, primarily, because this was done

1	so long ago. Anything that was used would have
2	degraded, at this point, and there's very little good
3	it would have done.
4	MR. LEVY: Chris, you need to
5	elaborate on that. We use biological simulates.
6	MR. MANIKAS: Simulates.
7	MR. LEVY: We do not use biological
8	agents. There is a big difference. And essentially,
9	the simulates were
10	MR. ANDERSON: Bacillus, BG
11	(inaudible).
12	MR. LEVY: Have a very short
13	half-life. Don't stay around.
14	MR. ANDERSON: (Inaudible) out of
15	date.
16	MR. LEVY: Yes. So, you wouldn't
17	be sampling for anything. There wasn't really
18	anything there, other than what they used it during
19	the time. And that would have been long gone.
20	MR. ANDERSON: It's a
21	non-pathogenic bacillus that actually the Japanese
22	drink it in liquid form for digestion. So, it's

really not -- and it dies in like a day. We use it

1	right now over at the school.
2	MR. PEREZ: It was real simple to
3	use, BG.
4	MR. MANIKAS: We also sampled the
5	soils for chemical warfare agent breakdown products.
6	They sent them to a laboratory and found nothing.
7	This is the aerial photograph of
8	the DNI area and T-5. T-5 is this area down here.
9	It's much larger. And the DNI area was at one time a
10	relatively cleared area. If you go out there now, you
11	barely walk through the site without bumping into a
12	tree. It's heavily wooded, at this point. The Army
13	has cleared the area and staked it. And they had
14	sampling stations where they went around and basically
15	trained they would sample a small, diluted quantity
16	of agent, detect it, identify it, things of that
17	nature.
18	MR. GARY HARVEY: (Inaudible)
19	twenty-four poles (inaudible.)
20	COURT REPORTER: Charles, I'm

MR. LEVY: Could we ask, for the

purpose of the transcriber, to state your name first.

sorry, I can't hear.

21

22

1	COURT REPORTER: Yes, please.
2	MR. GARY HARVEY: Gary Harvey. The
3	DNI area, at some point in time remember, would be
4	twenty-four poles in the ground. And on the top you
5	put the bottle. And as far as I know, I carried them
6	out, there was no agent or agent simulates, but there
7	were chemicals that would make the old detector kit
8	react, but no live agents.
9	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
10	MR. MANIKAS: Some of the
11	literature states that there was a building that was
12	burned on the site and was buried in a pit. We
13	actually there is a monument out there and
14	you'll see later on, we'll get into some more details
15	on the site map.
16	There is concrete monument out
17	there that we excavated around, through, and we did
18	excavate a lot of construction type debris, rebar,
19	concrete slabs, things of that nature, so there is a
20	burial out there.
21	We didn't find anything related to
22	any chemical training. We sampled the soils for
23	chemical agent breakdown products. We had the tech

1	escort unit screen the soils as we were digging. And
2	nothing was detected in the pit area.
3	Area T-5, down below, again, that's
4	a similar type of area they had discreet little
5	identification areas scattered over this whole site,
6	and similar type of training. The site was never
7	cleared. It's generally been in that condition that
8	it's in, now.
9	We sampled we had an Army sketch
10	map of each of the former training areas and we
11	sampled at each around each area as closely as we
12	could. The map was basically a sketch, so we just
13	tried to match up as closely as we could to a scaled
14	map to get as near as we could to the area. We'll get
15	into more detail as far as that site goes.
16	This is just some photographs of
17	how the sampling went out on area T-5. Again, you
18	could see they were taking a sample of soil here.
19	They weren't I have found no evidence in any of the
20	literature that I have read of any large scale
21	dispersion of any type of agent or simulates or
22	anything, as far as training went. And you can see,
23	these fellows weren't too concerned about, you know,

1	getting stuff on their arms. They're only wearing
2	gloves.
3	MR. TURNER: Where are they, now?
4	MR. MANIKAS: I'm sorry?
5	MR. TURNER: I was joking.
6	MR. MANIKAS: Where are these men,
7	now?
8	MR. TURNER: Joking.
9	MR. MANIKAS: I don't know where
10	they are, now. Hopefully, prospering.
11	And here is a little bit more
12	historical thing. At this point, they're wearing
13	respirators. I'm not sure if that was just to get
14	them used to wearing them or if they were actually
15	working with some kind of chemicals that were
16	detrimental to their health.
17	Again, there is no protectant on
18	their arms. Just wearing gloves. These fellows are
19	actually weighing out a sample with no protection, at
20	all, so
21	And this is area T-5. Again, the
22	triangles are where we sampled for chemical warfare
2.3	agent and had the tech escort unit screen with

1	mini-cams. And nothing was (inaudible) on the site.
2	These are actually concrete monuments that are still
3	out there. You can walk up and touch them, A, B, C,
4	and D.
5	And we did actually find some old
6	wooden stakes out there, as well. And we sampled this
7	site for the warfare agents and we also sampled soils
8	for warfare agent breakdown products. (Inaudible.)
9	And we also sampled in the stream
10	beds and basically found that some metals
11	MR. TURNER: What kind of metals?
12	MR. MANIKAS: I'm sorry?
13	MR. TURNER: What kind of metals?
14	MR. MANIKAS: I don't know exactly
15	what the metals were, offhand. They're in the report.
16	I can refer you to that. Probably, things like
17	aluminum.
18	MR. TURNER: Not heavy metals then?
19	MR. MANIKAS: There may have been
20	some nickel. It's fairly low level things, though.
21	But it's very difficult to attribute that to this
22	site, because this stream flows on up farther from
23	that area.

1	Actually, the metals are an issue
2	over all of our sites. We are in the process now of
3	planning to go out and take some more background
4	samples to try to narrow down the distribution of
5	background metals.
6	MR. TURNER: What's a background
7	metal?
8	MR. MANIKAS: Well, what you want
9	to do is go out on the post where areas were
10	unaffected by post activities, and try to find some
11	samples that will give you an idea of metals that are
12	naturally occurring in this area. There are some
13	folks that think this whole area has been mineralized,
14	geologically as the natural process. Some geologists
15	have done some studies in the area. Unfortunately,
16	their laboratory methods are more of a screening than
17	they are a quantitative analysis, so they're difficult
18	for us to use in our study.
19	But what you want to do is find out
20	what the undisturbed soils contain, in terms of
21	metals. Hopefully, they don't contain any organics,
22	because organics don't generally occur, naturally, at
23	least the types of organics that we're concerned with,

1	solvents, warfare agents, breakdown products of
2	warfare agents.
3	So, if you take a sample and it
4	turns out that it has some kind of a warfare agent
5	component in it, then you might conclude that you're
6	not in a background area, you're in an area that's
7	been affected by post activities.
8	So, you try to get enough
9	background samples to this is all done
10	statistically, so you compare the background data set
11	to data sets from each individual site to try to
12	screen out naturally occurring things so they don't
13	get carried forward into a risk assessment.
14	Just because something just
15	because a metal is detected, doesn't necessarily make
16	it bad.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Go back to this
18	chart. Those dots mean you performed the samples for
19	those items?
20	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, sir.
21	MR. THOMASSY: Or are they hits?
22	MR. MANIKAS: Those are samples.
23	MR. THOMASSY: Just samples?

1	MR. MANIKAS: Right.
2	MR. THOMASSY: You ran those, but
3	we don't know the results from anything in here.
4	MR. MANIKAS: No, not from that.
5	The results are in the report, tabulated in the
6	report.
7	This is area T-6, which is a site
8	area that was only investigated during the initial SI.
9	And the goal here the SI was a fairly limited
10	study. And what was done out here was we located
11	these this was actually a goat pen. And these were
12	former decontamination pads. This was downgrade of
13	the pads and sampled for chemical agent. The tech
14	escort unit sampled for chemical warfare agent and we
15	took soil samples for breakdown products and detected
16	nothing. This is one site that might get a little bit
17	more scrutiny.
18	This is an aerial shot of site 24
19	Alpha. The fenced area is actually the site that we
20	investigated. You can see that this whole area is a
21	part of range 24 Alpha and there are still ongoing
22	training activities that happen out there. There is a
23	lot of smoke training that goes on.

1	This was a former tech escort EOD
2	area where they we unearthed a lot of ordnance in
3	that site, much to the Army's chagrin. One of them
4	actually was a contained a liquid, which concerned
5	them very much. They had a specialist flown in to
6	test the piece. They shot some X-rays or neutron
7	activation rays into the thing to try to characterize
8	whether it was chemical warfare agent inside. It
9	turned out just to be water that had seeped in over
10	the years, since it was buried.
11	But we did a geophysical survey
12	over this entire area, continuously. We had
13	continuous coverage over the area. It delineated
14	these two bits very well. This was actually just a
15	point in time photograph. But it also delineated
16	several other really large pits out there. And we
17	excavated several test pits and did unearth quite a
18	cache of ordnance on this site.
19	This site is going on to have more
20	work done on it. The post actually it's clear
21	here. It was heavily wooded. The post actually
22	recleared it, so we did the geophysical work inside.
23	We installed three monitoring

1	wells, one in this area, one on the side, and one at
2	the top of the hill. Which this is a fairly steep
3	hill, going up. And we found in this well at the
4	bottom, some benzine. And that well is about I
5	think it's about eighty-five to ninety feet deep. And
6	we found benzine at two hundred parts per billion in
7	it, which was way above the regulatory limits for that
8	compound.
9	We're not sure, because this site
10	is an active training area, whether it is the site or
11	something going on around the site that's impacting
12	that well.
13	MR. TURNER: What direction does
14	the ground water flow?
15	MR. MANIKAS: The water flows
16	basically in that direction. And there were a lot of
17	decontamination solutions sprayed on this area. And
18	one of the components of some of those solutions was
19	Benzine.
20	MR. ANDERSON: This photo
21	MR. MANIKAS: And there was a lot
22	of burning that went on there, too.
23	MR. ANDERSON: I mean, it's a

1	'/5 photo. There are structures out there now. We've
2	built a fuel supply yard there and some other things
3	that are also, obviously, potential contributors to
4	it. But also
5	MR. MANIKAS: Are those the areas
6	that are
7	MR. LEVY: That's the (inaudible)
8	storage type of
9	MR. ANDERSON: But also, I mean,
10	obviously, from personal experience on this range, I'm
11	not sure from this picture where the current fire
12	break is. But, I mean, we've had flame fuel go beyond
13	the fire break, gosh, any number of times. I was the
14	range safety officer there '89 and '90. And
15	certainly, there were, you know I mean, jelly
16	(phonetic) gasoline that was burned
17	MR. MANIKAS: The trees were
18	scorched inside.
19	MR. ANDERSON: Right.
20	MR. MANIKAS: You could see the
21	scorch in the trees.
22	MR. ANDERSON: Right. It would
23	help to have a I guess, to include in the thing a

1	photo of, you know, a current aerial photo that shows
2	the fire break. Because from that fire break, kind of
3	get a feeling of the magnitude of the area, I think,
4	because it runs it runs the entire I guess
5	that's the northeast and then there is a fire break
6	that runs to the south southwest where it meets
7	that fire break along the wood line there, as well.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Isn't benzine a
9	normal contaminant in gasoline?
10	MR. MANIKAS: Yes.
11	MR. THOMASSY: You see, thousands
12	and thousands of gallons of gasoline are utilized out
13	there for flame field expedients of various types.
14	That's probably one of your major sources. And I
15	would assume it's also a contaminant within the fog
16	oil.
17	MR. MANIKAS: I think we looked
18	into the Ron, you looked into the fog oil, as far
19	as it containing benzine. Did benzine
20	MR. LEVY: Yes, in fact it is oil.
21	MR. ANDERSON: Old oil, pre-1985,
22	it sure did.
23	MR. LEVY: But I would probably be

1	more concerned about the flame field expedients that
2	they did out there right up the road and suspecting
3	fuels that they were using as a possible source of the
4	contamination.
5	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, that's part of
6	the problem with this
7	MR. LEVY: We've got ongoing
8	MR. MANIKAS: having active
9	training going on in areas where you're investigating,
10	because it's very difficult to attribute and this
11	is again, I said, it's one of the three wells. It's
12	difficult to attribute everything to that particular
13	site.
14	MR. LEVY: As far as I know, the
15	only thing they're doing out there right now is smoke
16	training. They've got a smoke line out there and
17	they've got the fuel site where they keep the fog oil.
18	What our intent is to go back in
19	and to do further work, in trying to characterize or
20	understand where that contamination might be coming
21	from.
22	But this is a site that's still
23	open and still working on.

1	MR. ANDERSON: Did we move all the
2	FFE training out to the new range on Pelham?
3	MR. GARY HARVEY: Uh-huh.
4	MR. ANDERSON: I thought we were
5	going to, but I didn't know if
6	MR. LEVY: Yes, it is. It's 23
7	Alpha on Pelham Range right now.
8	MR. TURNER: So, what kind of life
9	does benzine have?
10	MR. REEDY: Long.
11	MR. TURNER: So, if it's saturated
12	into the soil, are we looking at having some benzine
13	around in that area for awhile?
14	MR. MANIKAS: We actually found it
15	in the ground.
16	MR. REEDY: I would like to
17	MR. MANIKAS: I'm sorry.
18	MR. REEDY: yes, if I could
19	MR. TURNER: Doesn't it get down
20	into the ground water through the soil?
21	MR. REEDY: Charles?
22	MR. TURNER: Sir?
23	MR. REEDY: What we're doing here

1	this is what Chris is talking about here is an
2	RI I'm Bart Reedy. I'm sorry.
3	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
4	MR. REEDY: And this is a remedial
5	investigation. And this contract for this remedial
6	investigation was let well before the base went on the
7	closure list.
8	And the remedial investigation, the
9	goal of the remedial investigation was to go out to
10	these sites and as expeditiously as possible, before
11	again, before we became a closing base, is there
12	something going on, and get a look at what is
13	happening. Is there contamination there? The goal of
14	this report is not to characterize the risk nor the
15	remedy. That will be in the feasibility study, which
16	we also have a draft of. But we're not going to talk
17	about that today, are we?
18	MR. MANIKAS: Actually, there are
19	some slides in there. We don't have to talk about it.
20	MR. REEDY: But to speak directly
21	to your question, if you've got benzine in the ground
22	water, you've got benzine in the soil.
23	MR. TURNER: Right. And ultimately

1	what my question was and I didn't intend to confine
2	it within the scope of that study was: How
3	significant a problem is it? I don't want
4	MR. REEDY: In the feasibility
5	study, what we will do, what Chris and I will do, is
6	evaluate the feasibility study and determine if indeed
7	there is a risk to human health or the environment.
8	You can have some benzine and bugs will eat some
9	benzine. You can have biological degradation of
10	benzine. And so, some can stay there and not be a
11	threat to you or the bugs or the bunnies.
12	MR. TURNER: Okay.
13	MR. REEDY: Does that answer your
14	question?
15	MR. TURNER: Yes, sir.
16	MR. PEREZ: Is there an attempt to
17	quantify it or
18	MR. REEDY: The risk?
19	MR. PEREZ: No. Quantify the
20	amount of benzine?
21	MR. REEDY: We will are you
22	saying there is approximately fifty gallons of
23	hengine enread over four agree by this deen

1	MR. PEREZ: However you do it, you
2	know.
3	MR. REEDY: No, sir, what we will
4	do
5	MR. PEREZ: Take a test sample in a
6	certain size area and
7	MR. REEDY: Yes, we'll sample
8	along, let's say the little hatched line here that
9	Chris has sketched out, we will sample along until
10	we'll find where it is either clean or presents no
11	risk, if we could come up with a risk number. And so,
12	we'll have we'll be able to draw a line around the
13	site and say, inside is dirty, outside is clean.
14	MR. LEVY: We're going to talk to
15	you later on about risk and risk assessments and how
16	that all plays into the clean-up side of the house.
17	As a matter of fact, I think Chris Johnson there is
18	going to talk to you about it. But the risk
19	associated with the sites is what drives the clean up.
20	And that's real important.
21	Right now we have not given you any
22	information about that. So, you'll get some. This is
23	all just background to get you hooked up on where

1	we're going.
2	MR. REEDY: Did you get your
3	question answered?
4	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
5	MR. MANIKAS: Are these documents
6	in the repository?
7	MR. LEVY: Yes.
8	MR. MANIKAS: They are. And the
9	feasibility study?
10	MR. LEVY: (Nods head in the
11	affirmative.)
12	MR. MANIKAS: So, those documents
13	are available to you to review.
14	MR. GARY HARVEY: (Inaubile.) I
15	characterize you mentioned ordnance out there. And
16	they need to characterize this ordnance so that we
17	don't read it as being something else and it takes a
18	life of its own like unexploded ordnance. The
19	ordnance we've got and the guys here at the
20	chemical school can verify this. The container the
21	agent came in, like the 105 millimeter house around
22	the 155 or 4 deuce mortar round was the ideal
23	container.

1	The ones we had here at Fort
2	McClellan did not have explosives in them. Okay? Was
3	a very good container for the agent, because it would
4	not leak if you beat it with a ball ping hammer. So
5	on 24, we carried the rounds out there. The DoD
6	people cracked it open with an explosive placed on the
7	outside. And the last step in all their training was
8	to neutralize the agent. They did that and then left
9	the rounds there.
10	So, it wasn't rounds that were
11	shot, it wasn't rounds that had explosive or just left
12	there. They were taken out. The round was a very
13	good container and that's why we used them.
14	But the last part of any exercise
15	we had was neutralization of that agent. So, all the
16	rounds we found up and these guys dug up ten, which
17	made me work extra thirty days, because we couldn't
18	prove what was inside. It was ground water. We were
19	sure it was ground water. We couldn't prove it was
20	ground water until we brought the pins in and did.
21	So, that's the type of ordnance out
22	there. Right?
23	MR. MANIKAS: Yes. This was not

1	part	Οİ	the	active	range	€.
2					Here	ar

2.2

Here are some photos of some actual activity going on out there. And this was again, when the site was cleared previously. And we see these four stakes. They're outlining a pit here and a pit there, which would probably correspond to the two that you saw on the aerial shot.

And these just to give you an idea

And these just to give you an idea of the kind of material they were digging in. So, they weren't digging very deep, because it's all hard rock out there. And plus, they were doing it by hand.

This is the fenced area. And as I mentioned before, we did a complete geophysical survey over the entire area with a magnetometer unit that was — it consisted of an array of nine magnetometers in a row. It was dragged along behind the vehicle. And they did this entire site in forty-five minutes. And it's one acre. And just drove up and down, up and down and gave us a picture right there on site.

You can see this large anomaly in here and this large area in here correspond to the two areas on the aerial photograph. And we also have

1	detected these two much larger areas that weren't on
2	the picture. Obviously, when you're dealing with
3	aerial photographs, you're looking at a point in time
4	It's not a movie, it's not so, you don't have
5	continuous coverage. And continuous photography of
6	these areas just doesn't exist.
7	So, basically we have the two pit
8	areas here. This is where we excavated and dug up
9	some of the ordnance. These two anomalies are much
10	larger, which suggests to us that there is either a
11	lot of ordnance buried there or several big ones
12	buried there.
13	But based on what Mr. Harvey has
14	said, I would suspect it's just a lot of little ones.
15	And then all around the site here is just since
16	they were blowing things up out here, there is a lot
17	of metal debris just laying around.
18	Actually, if you could see this in
19	color I'm sorry I didn't bring it to you in color
20	when they drove along the fence line, they were
21	actually detecting the fence posts as they went
22	around. So, you'll see some anomalies around the
23	fence posts, around the fence line, but that's

1	basically a fence post. But there are a lot of small
2	or little anomalies, metallic debris. And actually,
3	you can see right here, it looks like a little tear in
4	the picture, that's an area that they missed when they
5	were doing the coverage.
6	MR. THOMASSY: What's the depth of
7	detection of your magnetometer system?
8	MR. MANIKAS: Well, actually, if
9	you look on here, on this side of it, this is a
10	listing of the coordinates and the depth in meters of
11	each anomaly they detected on the site. It's a little
12	bit small. I think they actually showed one down
13	greater than ten feet. I'm not sure that that is
14	actually a real detection, because you saw the rock, I
15	just don't think they dug down ten feet.
16	MR. THOMASSY: A quick scan, the
17	most I see is 4.6.
18	MR. MANIKAS: Yes. And these
19	depths are in meters.
20	MR. THOMASSY: Yes.
21	MR. TURNER: It's in meters.
22	MR. THOMASSY: So, what is the
23	penetration depth? Do you get a ninety percent

1	competence?
2	MR. MANIKAS: Four to six feet is
3	probably a good I mean, it's going to depend on the
4	material that they're trying to see through and things
5	of that nature. What's there.
6	MR. THOMASSY: And the soil.
7	MR. LEVY: Let me add a few things
8	to this. For one thing, this is a fairly flat
9	terrain. And another thing, we had to go in and we
10	had to clean the entire site off. So, that means we
11	had to cut down all the trees. So, we did some
12	extensive work in trying to prepare this site before
13	we could even go in with this machine and do that. If
14	this had been not a flat site, it would have been very
15	difficult if not an impossible thing to do.
16	MR. MANIKAS: Actually, the
17	flatness isn't so much an issue. As long as it's
18	cleared, they can handle hills, they can go up and
19	down over top of things.
20	MR. LEVY: But rugged terrain would
21	have been
22	MR. MANIKAS: The trees are the
23	worst. We tried it at area T-38, as well. And where

1	there weren't any trees, we got really good coverage.
2	But where the trees because the whole system is
3	keyed into the global positioning system, they use
4	satellites to locate themselves. It's all real time.
5	And whenever they got behind a tree canopy, obviously,
6	they can't see the satellites. So, the signal is
7	(inaudible) and you don't get any coverage in that
8	area.
9	They need to come up with some kind
10	of a thing where they can set up in a clear spot and
11	shoot the signal laterally, so they don't have those
12	blind spots.
13	So, T- 38, we got some coverage.
14	And range K was also pretty wooded. Which we tried it
15	out there, because I physically stood on a round at
16	range K until the tech escort guy told me not to stand
17	on one. I thought it was a tree stump. A lot of
18	these ordnance looks like a tree stump that has had
19	the bark taken off of it and just been out in the rain
20	a long time. It looks more like water logged wood.
21	When you're out in the woods, they all look alike. I
22	mean, you just don't know what you're stepping on out

there, particularly on range K.

1	Someone asked about the ground
2	water flow on 24 Alpha. Basically, like I said, it
3	flows towards this corner. These are locations where
4	we screened for chemical warfare agents out there and
5	nothing was detected.
6	This is another cartoon from the SI
7	report, similar to T-6. The goal here was to go out
8	to a limited number of structures that could be
9	identified on site and to sample a downgrading of
10	those structures, former decon pads, there is a couple
11	of low areas out. Tech escort went out and drilled
12	down to about five feet, sampled the soil, screened it
13	for agent, and didn't find any agent on the site. And
14	as a result of that, this site was not carried forward
15	into the RI.
16	Area T-38, there's a couple of
17	views of it, one from '61 on that side and 1969. It
18	really didn't change a whole lot. As a matter of
19	fact, we got a tree here or there. Basically, what
20	they have out here are some decon mixing areas and
21	storage areas. Mr. Harvey was sort of instrumental in
22	pointing out to us a sump that might have been there
23	that was used to dispose of chemicals. Unfortunately,

1	we were unable to locate that. The geophysical work
2	at this site was done for that purpose, to try to
3	locate that sump. We thought it might have been in
4	this area.
5	We had a couple of anomalies over
6	there. We actually drilled about forty feet into one
7	of them and really didn't detect anything we thought
8	we would detect.
9	The ground water at this site is
10	very, very heavily contaminated. One one and two two
11	partly tetrachloride, TCE, just a whole host of
12	solvents. And it pretty much we have wells I
13	have another drawing that I'll show you later. But we
14	have wells across the whole area. And all of them are
15	contaminated, except for one that is sort of back up
16	here in a high spot by this water tank. The ground
17	water is fairly deep at this site. We didn't detect
18	any contamination in the soil going down. One thing
19	that crossed our minds because this site sits up on
20	a ridge. And one thing that crossed our minds was:
21	Since our wells were so deep, that it was possible
22	that there was something coming from another site,

that might have been around it.

1	So, this is another area that's
2	going to get some further investigation, at some
3	point. A, to try to again, to try to locate the
4	sump and figure out what's going on with the ground
5	water, in terms of what is the source of the ground
6	but this is I know you've heard a lot about
7	landfill three, but this site is actually much more
8	contaminated that landfill three.
9	We have up to two thousand parts
10	per billion in carbon tet. And a lot of those
11	chemical are components of the decon solutions.
12	MR. CONROY: Are there other
13	contaminants, other than the two you just mentioned?
14	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, there is a whole
15	host of them. I don't have them on the tip of my
16	tongue right now. But TCE, PCE, and 1, 2,DCE, a lot
17	of them. All the solvents. Some degradation products
18	of others. But the carbon tet, I think was the most
19	prominent one, and 1,1,2, TCA.
20	MR. CONROY: So, you would
21	characterize this as the most serious problem?
22	MR. MANIKAS: In my opinion, this
23	is the worst site that I've seen.

1	MR. GARY HARVEY: It's the old
2	toxic gas area is what it is.
3	MR. THOMASSY: What I'm hearing is
4	it's ground water contamination. It's not surface
5	contamination, so it could be coming in from somewhere
6	else.
7	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, we've sampled
8	the surface soil, we've sampled some subsurface soil.
9	MR. LEVY: Based on what we've
10	sampled, based strictly on what we've sampled, we've
11	not found any surface or soil contamination.
12	MR. TURNER: But it's deep, right?
13	MR. LEVY: Yes. And we haven't
14	established
15	MR. MANIKAS: These wells are about
16	eighty to ninety feet deep. Like I say, the site sits
17	up on a ridge, and the ground water is fairly deep
18	underneath it.
19	MR. TURNER: Which direction does
20	the ground water flow?
21	MR. MANIKAS: At this site it
22	sits on a ridge. We only have one well back in here,
23	but these other wells are shown as down the slope this

1	way.
2	MR. THOMASSY: And it seems to me
3	you would have to characterize the ground water flow
4	for a long time, because you've got Riley Field in one
5	direction, and you've got containment areas and motor
6	pools in other directions. So, it could be coming
7	from a long way off.
8	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, my first guess
9	was maybe there was a post dry cleaner or something,
10	because they're notorious for solvents.
11	MR. GARY HARVEY: There was a lot
12	of carbon tetrachloride up in the yard.
13	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, those
14	decontaminants
15	MR. GARY HARVEY: I gave them a
16	list of all the chemicals, the old DANC and all the
17	stuff. We had a lot of carbon tet up here, FS smoke.
18	MR. MANIKAS: The bottom line was:
19	We couldn't find another source, at this point.
20	MR. GARY HARVEY: We can't believe
21	we can't find the
22	MR. TURNER: How far back how
23	long would these substances endure if they were say

1	if the ground water is flowing to the northeast?
2	MR. MANIKAS: If they were dumping
3	pure product out there, it could hang around for quite
4	awhile.
5	The site is going to get some more
6	work, I think.
7	MR. THOMASSY: Did you take any
8	soil borings?
9	MR. MANIKAS: Yes, we did. For the
10	most part, they were just shallow ones, about two to
11	five feet. The initial part of it was to characterize
12	and see if we had there was some concern that there
13	was agent up there. Once we thought we knew where the
14	sump was, we actually took a we drilled a forty
15	foot hole down into it, and we didn't detect anything
16	at that location.
17	MR. THOMASSY: They were all clean
18	of solvent, as well?
19	MR. MANIKAS: Yes. We expected to
20	see big hits of the solvent, but it didn't happen.
21	And actually, it was we also screened as we were
22	going down, when we were drilling the monitor wells,
23	we screened the soil on those, as well, and didn't

1 detect anything.

23

2 This site was a little bit of a 3 surprise to us. We really didn't expect to find the 4 ground water as pervasively contaminated as it was. 5 This is another drawing with the 6 circular areas. It just shows the locations of where 7 we had geophysical anomalies. And there is -- a lot 8 of these might be related. There's a railroad track that runs out there. And if you guys go out for a 9 site tour, you'll see it. It's partially buried in 10 11 some places. It comes up in other places. And some of these may be related to that. You can try to line 12 them up and connect the dots. 13 Some of the other -- I would 14 15 suspect it's mostly utilities, perhaps, just a few 16 buried metallic objects out there. This was a -- this 17 was an accident training area or something, at one 18 time, wasn't it? Didn't they run rail cars around there and practice responding to accidents? I think 19 20 that was --MR. GARY HARVEY: Tech escort did 21 22 that. But we transferred mustard and all sorts of

things up. Detoxing agents or all the agents were

1	kept years ago. We had live agents on post.
2	MR. MANIKAS: And a lot of these
3	structures are still there. The storage areas are
4	the buildings are still intact.
5	MR. LEVY: As a matter of fact,
6	that area is closed off right now. We're the only
7	ones that have the key. Nothing goes on right now on
8	it.
9	MR. MANIKAS: This is just to show
10	you all the black triangles are where we sampled or
11	the tech escort sampled for chemical warfare agents.
12	And nothing was detected, as far as agents.
13	Here is where the monitoring wells
14	are. There is one down here, one here, one there, one
15	back up in there, and one here. And pretty much these
16	four around this ring are all contaminated.
17	And the line shows the ground water
18	moving in this direction. Let me know if I'm going
19	too slow or too fast.
20	MR. PEREZ: What do you do with
21	these monitoring wells? What's actually out there?
22	MR. MANIKAS: As far as?
23	MR. PEREZ: Is there

1	MR. MANIKAS: We just put them in
2	there and forget them or
3	MR. PEREZ: What's the time factor
4	that you monitor?
5	MR. MANIKAS: We, SAIC, we
6	installed the wells and we were contracted to actually
7	sample them twice, which we've done. And we monitored
8	the water levels during the entire period that we were
9	here on a monthly basis.
10	I'm not sure what the post has done
11	since that. We have been off the site since about the
12	early part of '95.
13	MR. PEREZ: How long was your
14	monitoring?
15	MR. MANIKAS: We monitored for the
16	entire year, our study went on. And all that
17	information is presented in the report.
18	This is the old toxic training
19	area. And as I mentioned, it's a long strip. It's
20	kind of a bizarrely shaped training area. I'm not
21	quite sure we actually had trouble getting two
22	people in there at one time. We had the tech escort
23	unit sample a couple of locations at the surface and

1	about two and a half to four feet, looking for an
2	agent. And they didn't find anything. As a result of
3	that, this site was not carried forward into the RI
4	study.
5	These are the sites that are out on
6	Pelham. I don't know how interested you are in those.
7	This is range I. Basically, this is what it looks
8	like in the field. It's just a fenced area. It's
9	perfectly flat with very few trees on it. When we got
10	to it, it looked like the Army had already rearranged
11	there. There were huge mounds that were bulldozed up
12	from the site. This concrete monument was still
13	intact. So, we sampled near that with tech escort and
14	also down here at a little low spot on the site.
15	MR. LEVY: Chris, since we're kind
16	of limited on time and we want to get out of here in
17	two hours, quickly, quickly go through the stuff on
18	Pelham Range. We can always go back on the
19	(inaudible) and get the landfills.
20	MR. MANIKAS: Okay.
21	MR. TURNER: Or just forgo Pelham
22	Range and do the landfills.
23	MR. MANIKAS: Forgo Pelham?

1	MR. LEVY: Is that all right with
2	everybody?
3	MR. MANIKAS: This is the DNI area.
4	Backtracking a little bit, but just to show you, it
5	was cleared. Here are some staked locations that were
6	out there. There's a little concrete monument right
7	there, which you can walk out there tomorrow and look
8	at. It's still there. Unfortunately, the site is
9	completely wooded, at this point.
10	We did some geophysical studies
11	centered on that monument. A magnetometer and EM, a
12	hundred and twenty feet in each direction. As you can
13	see, we've got some fairly large anomalies. And
14	that's why we decided to go ahead and excavate in that
15	area.
16	And this is a plan of where we
17	excavated. Centered on the (inaudible) there, we just
18	dug right through there. This shows you your
19	geophysical coverage.
20	We excavated through there and
21	pulled out a bunch of concrete debris, rebar. Just
22	because you heard so much about it, I'm going to show
23	you this real quick. This is range L. This really

1	doesn't do it justice. It looks like almost a small
2	volcano as you walk up to it. It goes up and then it
3	drops off very dramatically into this pond. And this
4	was thought to be a chemical weapons dumping ground.
5	But we did geophysical surveys across the pond and we
6	didn't detect any metallic objects in there.
7	MR. TURNER: Was there any fish in
8	it?
9	MR. MANIKAS: Fish, no. It dries
10	up. It's basically rainfall. It dries up.
11	Here is landfill one, back in 1944.
12	What would be this area right in here. Very
13	dramatically cleared. Really, an odd place for a
14	landfill, unless you were just going to back a truck
15	up and push stuff over the edge, because this is a
16	very steep slope. That may have been what they were
17	doing. I don't know.
18	MR. LEVY: In fact, that's an old
19	picture, but it now encompasses part of house or
20	the housing area encompasses part of that.
21	MR. MANIKAS: Yes. You can't
22	appreciate that slope until you've tried to drill on
23	it.

1	And these are just some sequential
2	photographs from forty-four on. It's the same area.
3	Didn't really change much here. They're starting to
4	construct officers housing at the very top of it. And
5	they're basically almost done there. And this is
6	pretty much what it looks like today from '69. It
7	hasn't changed all that much. This area is still
8	cleared. There is a little playground on top of it.
9	And the slope is very steep below it.
10	We installed four wells around
11	landfill one. This one is at the top of the slope in
12	the housing area and these three are downgradings. We
13	detected some sporadic low level compounds, some
14	organics, some metals, as well, which are going to be
15	reevaluated, based on the additional background
16	information.
17	We also sampled this stream running
18	through here and found some metals in the stream, as
19	well. But for the most part, the landfill was fairly
20	innocuous, as far as things in the wells.
21	Landfill two
22	MR. LEVY: What were the years on
23	that landfill out there?

1	MR. MANIKAS: What's that?
2	MR. LEVY: What were the years on
3	landfill one, again?
4	MR. MANIKAS: '45 to '47.
5	This is landfill two. And
6	actually, landfill two doesn't really show up that
7	well on this photo, because this is a forest screen
8	that was in operation, at this time. And the landfill
9	is actually in actually, the landfill doesn't show
10	up well on any photograph, because it's basically just
11	in the woods. And it looks like an area where people
12	just routinely go back and dump things.
13	They probably also used it for
14	dumping construction materials, because we, in
15	clearing a road to get down here so we could put a
16	well in by the creek. We encountered a bunch of
17	glass, asphalt, painting materials, things of that
18	nature. But there is all kind of machinery laying out
19	in the woods and cans and things like that. Seems to
20	be more of a surface feature than an actual dug
21	landfill.
22	This is a map of that area. As you
23	can see, the topo is fairly steep around it. We put

1	wells on three sides of it. For the most part, based
2	on the contour of the land, it does drop off fairly
3	dramatically, once you get into the woods. And there
4	again, we found some trace organics. Actually, I
5	think it was in this well which is upgraded, which
6	doesn't really make a lot of sense, coming from this
7	landfill.
8	And we also sampled the stream that
9	flows near it. And the ground water does move toward
10	the stream. And again, this is another site where
11	you've got low levels of some organics and some
12	metals.
13	MR. TURNER: You discovered that in
14	the stream, too?
15	MR. MANIKAS: The stream, we
16	sampled the sediments and the water. The water, I
17	don't think, had too much in it. The sediments had
18	some metals that were of some concern for ecological
19	reasons.
20	Landfill three, 1944, which is a
21	little bit prior to its actual use as a landfill.
22	This is not a real clear but the actual image was
23	not real clear. This is a fairly fuzzy, original

Τ	pnotograpn.
2	Again, there is some stuff going or
3	there. It's just hard to say what it actually was.
4	These are more recent photos from
5	'54. You can see they're actually digging a trench at
6	that time. They throw the trash in there. The next
7	trench is dug, they throw the dirt back over it and
8	cover it up. And you can see them just progressing
9	from '54 to '57, they progressed and they filled in
10	these trenches and they are just marching up with
11	time. Until by '69 they were finished, and they're
12	already starting to revegetate the landfill by '69.
13	The Army put in five wells around
14	this site in 1986. And I believe there is roughly
15	twenty around it, now. Each of these little bulls
16	eyes is a well. And the ground water movement is
17	pretty much towards the Town of Weaver, although there
18	is a lot going on geologically on this side of the map
19	that would preclude anything moving towards Weaver.
20	There is a major fault that runs northeast, southwest
21	Actually, towards the Anniston Depot that would be
22	almost an intercept for anything moving.
23	We have detected some organics in

1	this area. This well is actually in the middle of the
2	highway, all three of these are. These two are clean.
3	This one has a little bit of TCE, twelve parts per
4	billion.
5	There is a gentleman that owns
6	has a house here. We sampled his well. He had an old
7	private well in his backyard. He hasn't used it in
8	years. Unfortunately, when we informed him we were
9	going to sample it, he had some old equipment in the
10	well. And we told him he had to get it out before we
11	could sample it, because the pump didn't work. So, he
12	hired a contractor to pull the pump out. But I think
13	when they pulled it, they collapsed his well. It was
14	supposed to be about a hundred and forty feet deep.
15	And when we got there, it was only about ninety and it
16	was just a little puddle of water in the bottom.
17	We didn't really detect any
18	organics in his well. We detected a lot of metals
19	that might have been related to just his equipment,
20	because they were things like Mercury and some other
21	bizarre metals that we really hadn't detected in the
22	landfill. Again, his equipment had been in there for

a long time, so it's hard to say exactly. And, plus,

1	the well had been damaged by the time we got to it.
2	There is another well, a gentleman
3	lives right next door to him. And we sent out over
4	six hundred well survey cards, included him in the
5	mailing. And we were just trying to locate where the
6	private wells are around the post. And he didn't
7	respond.
8	But we did identify five additional
9	wells around the post. I'm not quite sure at this
10	point how far away they actually are. Some of them
11	are in Weaver and some of them are in Anniston. So,
12	it could be far away.
13	Yes, sir? You have a question?
14	MR. ELSER: You mentioned Mercury.
15	There wouldn't have been any Mercury in his well pump
16	equipment, would there have been?
17	MR. MANIKAS: It's hard for me to
18	say where the mercury might have come from. If we
19	detected it here, it might be one thing, if we had a
20	mercury problem. Again, you would suspect the site
21	might be a problem.
22	We didn't detect TCE, which would
23	move a lot more readily than Mercury will. We do have

1	TCE here and here, so there is kind of a a little
2	bit of a trend in there. And this site is again,
3	going to get more work, as far as trying to pin down
4	where this stuff is coming from.
5	MR. CONROY: Can you show us where
6	that fault would run on that map or
7	MR. MANIKAS: It's actually off the
8	map.
9	MR. LEVY: Jacksonville fault you
10	talking?
11	MR. MANIKAS: Yes.
12	MR. CONROY: How far off the map?
13	MR. MANIKAS: It's out here.
14	MR. CONROY: Okay.
15	MR. PEREZ: You know where Weaver
16	Cave Road is?
17	MR. CONROY: Sure do.
18	MR. PEREZ: And then the other road
19	that goes towards Weaver from Lenlock?
20	MR. CONROY: Yes.
21	MR. PEREZ: That cave that's in
22	there is probably part of that.
23	MR. MANIKAS: Actually, the fault

1	and the fracturing that's associated with the fault is
2	a good reason why the Weaver wells are so productive.
3	They're production wells.
4	MR. CONROY: Makes sense.
5	MR. MANIKAS: Well, it's your
6	choice, do you want to hear risk assessment and
7	feasibility study or
8	MR. TURNER: We need to take a
9	break, I suspect.
10	(WHEREUPON, there was a brief recess.)
11	MR. LEVY: Let's get started again.
12	MR. MANIKAS: The reason I provided
13	the risk assessment and feasibility components to your
14	package are because those documents are in your
15	repository. I assumed you all had access to them.
16	But because there are some issues with the background
17	data and the metals and this is draft information.
18	And rather than drawing conclusions from it, I think
19	we're going to go ahead and not get into it this
20	evening. Maybe after the State has presented their
21	briefing on risk assessment, it might be a better time
22	to do it then.
23	MR. LEVY: Let me just point out

1	that some of the data well, all of the data that
2	Chris was briefing is still under review. I think
3	Bart and the EPA are still reviewing the documents,
4	so, we haven't completed it. And I'm sure that Bart
5	has got comments and whatnot.
6	Chris has already submitted his.
7	And we're working on those, so it's not a final
8	document, in terms of the regulators concerns. So,
9	when we do get that get that, we'll obviously get you
10	copies of it, as well.
11	MR. MANIKAS: And I would say,
12	there are folks in this room that are knowledgeable
13	about things that have gone on here. And, you know,
14	questions have come up. The state has had questions,
15	as far as, you know, why we do this, why we do that,
16	why don't we look for this, why don't we look for
17	that.
18	So again, that's all part of this
19	process. And you all have the advantage of being in
20	on that process of the iterative approach to actually
21	investigating these sites and finalizing them and
22	clearing them out.
23	Yes, sir?

1	MR. PARKS: Could I ask for a
2	little clarification on your first chart there where
3	it shows the dates in there? What exactly were those
4	dates?
5	MR. MANIKAS: Those are the dates,
6	as far as I know, of operation.
7	MR. PARKS: Was there anything
8	after '73 that you investigated? Or '73 is the last
9	date on there.
10	MR. MANIKAS: Again, those are the
11	dates that are in the documentation and the these
12	sites were prioritized by the they identified
13	sixty-two sites on the post. And of those sixty-two,
14	these seventeen were considered to be of highest
15	priority.
16	MR. LEVY: Now, let me interject
17	something else. He said '67. It's actually more than
18	that (inaudible) EBSs has grown somewhat. So, when
19	you start looking at some of the documentation we're
20	going to present to you, there is a long way to go in
21	our investigative process. And I know Bart and Chris
22	will talk to you a little bit about that.
23	We've got a lot of investigative

1	work that we've got to do before we can present you
2	with any data one way or the other. As we get through
3	it, we'll be providing the RAB where we stand on the
4	ongoing investigation.
5	MR. ANDERSON: With that in mind,
6	do we need to hear some of the remainder of this that
7	we talked about, remedial alternatives and those types
8	of things, or should we wait and look at the baseline?
9	MR. LEVY: In terms of what
10	corrective actions you're talking about
11	MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I cheated, I
1.0	
12	looked ahead.
13	MR. LEVY: There's some information
14	in there. But as I told you before, we're not through
15	with the document. And before we start commenting on
16	what recommendations are made for clean up issues, we
17	need to go a little further.
18	MR. TURNER: I think that makes
19	sense.
20	MR. REEDY: If I
21	MR. TURNER: Mr. Reedy?
22	MR. REEDY: Yes, sir. Again, like
23	I said earlier there is a sequence of events a

1	sequence of documents, and a site investigation. And
2	the remedial investigation that Chris is speaking of,
3	falls in that. You investigate the site, then you do
4	a risk assessment, and then you do a feasibility
5	study. The RI, the remedial investigation, basically
6	answers the question, is it dirty, where is it dirty,
7	how dirty is it. And then the risk assessment
8	determines is there just because it's dirty, does
9	that mean there is a risk. Then the conclusions of
10	the risk assessment will drive the feasibility study,
11	which is exactly that, what is feasible, what is
12	technologically feasible for us, as engineers and
13	geologists and blah, blah, blah, to clean it up, to
14	fix it. And what Chris is speaking of right now is
15	the very first step in the process. Is it dirty?
16	MR. TURNER: So, it's very easy to
17	take it out of context?
18	MR. REEDY: It is dangerously easy
19	to take this out of context.
20	MR. TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Reedy.
21	Anybody else got any questions
22	relating to our program? Mr. Manikas, thank you so
23	much for coming.

1	MR. MANIKAS: Thank you.
2	MR. TURNER: I wish we had more
3	time, but I think we're going to take a look at our
4	training schedule to see if we can't accommodate on
5	greater periods of time for worthwhile programs like
6	yours
7	MR. MANIKAS: I've seen your
8	briefing schedule, you've got, you know, the experts
9	on post to talk about agent and the state has experts
10	on other matters, so you're in good hands.
11	MR. TURNER: Thank you so much.
12	Mr. Reedy?
13	MR. REEDY: As you and I were
14	speaking earlier, you might want to bring that up to
15	bounce it off of the RAB, the discussion of the sites
16	or
17	MR. TURNER: Go ahead.
18	MR. REEDY: One of the things we
19	might do again, this is a very first step in the
20	RI. I'm sure that most of you would be interested in
21	a little more of in depth view of what's going on at
22	these various sites. We might, later on, when we get
23	this put together, come back to you and spend an hour

1	say pick, pick one of the sites. I happen to have
2	what have I got here? I don't have my glasses on.
3	Can you read that for me?
4	MR. ELSER: This is landfill number
5	one.
6	MR. REEDY: Landfill number one, we
7	might spend an hour telling you what we have done,
8	what Chris has done at landfill number one, what we
9	intend to do, additionally at landfill one, if
10	something is needed, and give you a better feel for
11	just exactly what it is the three of us do to spend
12	your tax dollars.
13	MR. TURNER: I think that's an
14	excellent idea. And I'm sure we can adjust the
15	training schedule, if the RAB wants to do it, to
16	accommodate that.
17	MR. REEDY: Right. We're not there
18	we don't have the information to give you, yet, but
19	when we get it, yes, I think that might be for your
20	consideration.
21	MR. TURNER: Anything else before
22	we move on down the agenda? Report of committees,
23	charter, and membership. I tried to get a copy of

1	this rough draft and I do mean rough out to
2	everybody. Did everybody get a copy of this document?
3	MS. HARRINGTON: You faxed me ten
4	copies.
5	MR. TURNER: Well, you should have
6	faxed them back. It was my computer. And I don't
7	know why. It's new software. I hope you'll forgive
8	me.
9	Are y'all ready to discuss these
10	proposed bylaws? Let's start with section one. Does
11	anybody have a problem with the 1.1? How about 1.2?
12	Of course, there is a provision for
13	amending and changing. If I miss something in what
14	our purpose is, this is an easy thing to change. Just
15	be adding onto it.
16	Ron, do you have any thoughts on
17	it?
18	MR. LEVY: No. I think you hit the
19	two that I was concerned about. One is the the
20	purpose statement is fine, so is the section 1, as far
21	as I'm concerned.
22	MR. TURNER: 1.3 duration, is there
23	any reason to put a time limit on it?

1	MR. LEVY: No. Depending on now
2	the clean up goes and how we move through this
3	process, no telling how long we'll be involved. I
4	would tell you how often it might change, though.
5	MR. TURNER: Right. And that would
6	be subject to amendment, too.
7	Membership, 2.11, do we want to set
8	a number such as seventeen, as to votes, that that can
9	be increased or reduced by a vote? Are there
10	seventeen plus you?
11	MR. LEVY: I'm eighteen.
12	MR. TURNER: You're eighteen.
13	MR. LEVY: Yes.
14	MR. TURNER: But you vote, don't
15	you, or do you not vote?
16	MR. LEVY: Whatever the rules apply
17	to.
18	MR. TURNER: Let's discuss it. I
19	think this is an important provision.
20	So, does anybody have any ideas
21	about first the number of members that should be
22	included as regular members and secondly, is everybody
7.2	that's included in that number entitled to weta? Mr

1	Thomassy?
2	MR. THOMASSY: Let me throw
3	something out to chew on just for a minute. This
4	board was formed as a community board in order to
5	provide information to the community. And my
6	recommendation would be that the board, itself, should
7	have the vote and not members of the government, which
8	would then take Ron Levy out of the voting activity.
9	MR. PARKS: Take me out, too.
10	MR. TURNER: No, sir. You were
11	selected as a member of the community, not associated
12	as an employee, as opposed to Ron, who is only here
13	because of his job.
14	Is that right, Ron?
15	MR. LEVY: I was appointed by the
16	Army to be the co-chair. What does the co-chair at
17	Nadick do?
18	MR. HUTCHENS: It's non-voting. I
19	mean, most government the government, like you
20	said, don't usually vote. I mean, it's an iterative
21	process, dynamic process. You can do what you want.
22	But generally, I mean, there is very few issues to
23	vote on, as far as the Army is concerned.

1	MR. ANDERSON: I guess the question
2	comes up, are we not advisors to the base clean-up
3	team? And in that capacity, technically, we're trying
4	to advise you three. So, do you want to vote?
5	MR. TURNER: Mr. Reedy?
6	MR. REEDY: I
7	MR. ANDERSON: You're going to do
8	what you're going to do, anyway.
9	MR. REEDY: I don't think that
10	we're going to do what we want to do, anyway, by any
11	means. But like Mr. Thomassy said, one of the one
12	of the goals that you have, one of the two main goals
13	that you have is to watch what it is that we do, and
14	the other one is to disseminate information to the
15	public, because the public, as a whole, is more
16	receptive to information from you than they will be
17	from me or from Ron being Army.
18	That's the reason the RABs were
19	established by DoD to start with. If we can accept
20	that, then I think it might shed a little brighter
21	light on the comment that Mr. Thomassy made.
22	That's my opinion, and that and
23	fifty cents will not get you anything, anymore, I

1	guess, will it?
2	MR. TURNER: Buy you a coke from
3	Ron Levy's snack bar over here.
4	By the way, Ron has been feeding us
5	and keeping us watered out of his own pocket, because
6	the government has not seen to provide us with
7	refreshments. So, Mr. Levy has been doing it out of
8	the kindness of his heart, which we've now
9	extinguished.
10	You'll notice there is a can over
11	there and it's got a hole in the top of it. And the
12	intention behind that hole is that you'll put money
13	through it. And I would encourage everybody to do
14	that, as their use
15	MR. LEVY: Only to keep this up.
16	No, I'm not going on vacation.
17	MR. TURNER: Ms. Longstreth?
18	MS. LONGSTRETH: Mr. Turner, I
19	noticed that little can over there when I came in, so,
20	I already fed it.
21	But I would just like to ask a
22	question. Since Mr. Levy has been appointed by the
23	government, wouldn't he be considered an Ex Officio

1	member?
2	MR. TURNER: Well, he's more than
3	that, because he's I think he's the DoD
4	co-chairman. But if his voting powers were the same
5	as an Ex yes, ma'am, I think that
6	MS. LONGSTRETH: So, he would not
7	have a vote?
8	MR. TURNER: Right. That he's here
9	to provide other things, other than decision making.
10	MS. LONGSTRETH: Well, I know that,
11	too. But I was just saying, as far as the membership
12	part of it.
13	MR. TURNER: Yes, ma'am. I think
14	that's a good observation. Mr. Hutchens?
15	MR. HUTCHENS: I mean, I'm not a
16	part of the board, but just on observation, is most of
17	the voting tending to be administrative?
18	MR. TURNER: Yes.
19	MR. HUTCHENS: Okay.
20	MR. TURNER: To an extent, now.
21	We're still, as you can see, discussing bylaws. And
22	this is our third meeting.
23	MR. LEVY: From an administrative

1	standpoint, I have no problem with taking myself out
2	of the vote. Advice-wise to be able, you know, to
3	keep my advice in. But that's not voting.
4	MR. HUTCHENS: The reason why I
5	asked that question was because as far as I
6	mean, I think everyone has a voice. There isn't
7	really a from what I've seen at other RABs, you can
8	vote on an issue, but I think that everybody's voice
9	is heard, equally. So, I don't think that like there
10	has to be a consensus among the RAB to say we want to
11	put a cap on landfill three or we need to dig up this
12	dirt.
13	MR. TURNER: I think that we were
14	thinking more along the lines of our relations with
15	the press, perhaps, about, if we were going to have an
16	official position on something that was disputed, that
17	a vote would be required, but mostly for
18	administrative matters, such as elections and just
19	that the rules of order, I think, require some voting.
20	And this is the first time I've
21	ever served on one of these, so, I don't know exactly
22	how we're supposed to proceed.
23	It seems like, if you've got a good

1	set of bylaws, that it makes things function more
2	smoothly, because you have mechanism to do it.
3	MR. HUTCHENS: I serve on another
4	RAB up in Massachusetts, and they have yet to approve
5	a charter. In fact, they've decided not to have a
6	charter and they don't have any bylaws.
7	They've been in operation for about
8	a year. As Greg Mayhall (phonetic), another guy from
9	that came to the first briefing about the RAB, said
10	it depends on the group and how they wanted the group
11	to (inaudible). It's whichever way you want to take
12	it. So, I mean, it's all the advice I have.
13	MR. TURNER: All right. Let's
14	MR. ANDERSON: Getting back to the
15	question, which was the seventeen being the number and
16	is that reasonable. Just a general comment. It's
17	something we talked in the community relations group
18	the other day, relates to environmental justice. We
19	need to if we're going to limit the number to a
20	certain finite number, then we need to make some room
21	for potential expansion.
22	MR. TURNER: Well, that would be

another -- something else we would need to discuss

1	under the bylaws is how we increase or decrease our
2	number. But we've got to start somewhere. Do we need
3	to define the number of members that make up the
4	initial RAB?
5	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
6	MR. TURNER: Does anybody disagree
7	with that idea? Does seventeen, since that's the
8	number of us that there are, does that seem like a
9	reasonable number? Does anybody have any problem then
10	with leaving 2.11 as is for now?
11	MR. CONROY: As long as we're going
12	to leave flexibility enough in the future if you
13	wanted to add some. I really don't see any
14	flexibility in that.
15	MR. LEVY: Does that get back to
16	the issue of whether I am a non-voting member, which
17	would constitute eighteen voting people?
18	MR. TURNER: Yes. We're going to
19	need do definitely fill this out, related to
20	increasing and selection. And I would say we
21	discussed under your duties, under Department of
22	Defense chairperson.
23	MR. LEVY: Yes.

1	MR. TURNER: All right, Ex Officio
2	members, I would suggest that we already have at least
3	two Ex Officio members and perhaps more. Certainly,
4	Mr. Richardson and Mr. Harvey are Ex Officio to us, de
5	facto, if not, if anything. So
6	MR. LEVY: What
7	MR. ANDERSON: Probably compares to
8	
9	MR. TURNER: Yes.
10	MR. LEVY: So, we need to include
11	Bart and Chris as
12	MR. TURNER: Didn't I say that?
13	MR. LEVY: No.
14	MR. TURNER: Certainly, y'all are
15	Ex Officio. And I would say that we had as many Ex
16	Officio members as the market will bear. You know, we
17	I think the role of an Ex Officio member is to
18	advise. And I don't think we can really get too much
19	of it.
20	Does anybody have any problem with
21	the Ex Officio, with the provisions regarding Ex
22	Officio members?
23	What about terms? Should we have

1	set terms? Should this be a rotating board or should
2	you stay on it as long as you come to the meetings and
3	resign when you don't or removed when you don't?
4	Anybody have a problem with that
5	provision?
6	Removing a member, member be
7	removed by super majority vote. Super majority vote,
8	as is defined below. And that will be something
9	greater than a simple majority of a quorum.
10	Resignation. I think that one is
11	pretty easy.
12	Vacancies. We've got we're
13	going to have to define the selection committee.
14	Now, I would propose that we also
15	add a section 2.6, which relates to increasing the
16	size of the RAB. Yes, ma'am, Ms. Harrington?
17	MS. HARRINGTON: I need to take you
18	back just a little bit. I know we said the size is
19	going to be seventeen.
20	MR. TURNER: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. HARRINGTON: Because that's
22	what we had of regular members.
23	MR. TURNER: Right.

1	MS. HARRINGTON: But now, if we're
2	going to have Ex Officio, we're down to fifteen
3	regular members?
4	MR. ANDERSON: One, two, three,
5	unless we're considering all them regular members, as
6	well.
7	MS. HARRINGTON: Are you with me?
8	MR. TURNER: Yes, I am with you.
9	And I thought we had seventeen members from the
10	community. Is that incorrect?
11	MS. HARRINGTON: Fifteen.
12	MR. ANDERSON: Fifteen, plus three
13	members of the base clean-up team.
14	MR. LEVY: No. It's seventeen
15	members of the community that are assigned here.
16	MS. HARRINGTON: Where?
17	MR. LEVY: They're not all here,
18	but we've got seventeen.
19	MR. TURNER: Seventeen. No, I
20	didn't count Bart, Chris, or Ron.
21	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
22	MS. HARRINGTON: I didn't count but
23	fifteen members.

1	MR. TURNER: Ms. Harrington, have
2	you seen this one?
3	MS. HARRINGTON: Okay.
4	MR. LEVY: There is actually
5	seventeen names.
6	MS. HARRINGTON: Okay.
7	MR. TURNER: Can we increase the
8	number by regular majority vote or do we want super
9	majority vote?
10	MR. THOMASSY: I would say super.
11	And I'd say, you not only talk about increasing but
12	decreasing.
13	MR. TURNER: Okay.
14	MR. THOMASSY: Give flexibility
15	both ways.
16	MR. TURNER: What I'll do is any
17	changes or additions, I'll fax them to you. The
18	chairpersons, committee chairperson elected by super
19	majority vote. Anybody think it ought to be anything
20	different?
21	We don't have any say regarding the
22	Department of Defense chairperson.
23	MR. LEVY: Certainly you can say,

1	but I don't think it makes a difference.
2	MR. TURNER: Duties, do the
3	chairpersons have any additional duties, outside of
4	presiding at the meetings, maintaining order at them?
5	MR. LEVY: Yes, I have a number of
6	them that I in terms of providing agendas and doing
7	these other things that I've been doing, you know,
8	organizing
9	MR. TURNER: We can't fire you and
10	you can't vote, so, I mean, if we put your duties in
11	here and then you don't do them, there is nothing we
12	can do about it.
13	MS. HARRINGTON: I like that.
14	MR. HOOD: Ex Officio members.
15	MS. HARRINGTON: Do their own
16	thing.
17	MR. LEVY: You could petition the
18	Army for another person, if you really wanted to.
19	MR. TURNER: Well, we don't want to
20	do that.
21	MR. LEVY: I appreciate that.
22	MR. PARKS: Not right now.
23	MR. TURNER: Not until you quit

1	buying cookies, now, then it's all over for you, guy.
2	Do we need to discuss the duties of
3	the community chairperson?
4	MS. LONGSTRETH: The statement says
5	that every other duty identified. That would cover a
6	lot of things.
7	MR. TURNER: Yes, ma'am. And we
8	could, as the need arises, within the bylaws, we could
9	make specific provisions.
10	MS. HARRINGTON: Correct.
11	MR. TURNER: For instance, calling
12	a special meeting I think is done by the chairperson.
13	Anything else?
14	Let's move on. Term, committee
15	chairperson for a year. We discussed that at our
16	initial meeting. Does anybody have any different
17	ideas about that?
18	Vice chair or vice chairperson,
19	essentially the same as the chairperson, except that
20	they preside at all meetings in which the chairperson
21	isn't in attendance. Also, a one year term.
22	3.3 relates to elections.
23	MR. REEDY: Charles?

1	MR. TURNER: Sir.
2	MR. REEDY: Can I ask you a
3	question on your vice chair and your chair, are you
4	going to make the vice chair like who is the vice
5	chair right now?
6	MR. TURNER: We don't have one. We
7	don't have a community chairman.
8	MR. REEDY: Is the intent to put
9	the vice chair in and then that person would then be
10	chairman a year from now and then the new vice
11	chairman and so the vice chair would just rotate up to
12	chair or would it be two different people?
13	MR. TURNER: That's what we've done
14	on the LRA. And you can ask Mr. Richardson how well
15	that's going. I think it's going pretty well.
16	At the same time, you're kind of
17	locking up your leadership for two years.
18	MR. REEDY: True.
19	MR. TURNER: On the initial vote.
20	And so I don't know. It's an easy provision to put
21	in, since it's one I've already drafted and cut out of
22	these.
23	MR. REEDY: It's still open, then?

1	MR. TURNER: Oh, certainly, it's
2	still open. What do y'all think, two separate offices
3	or just ascending office? Vice chairman is
4	chairperson in training.
5	MR. THOMASSY: You got two good
6	present, both the LRA and the chamber of commerce,
7	along those lines work quite well.
8	MR. TURNER: I think it's a good
9	idea. And that way, you're guaranteed that you're
10	going to have some turnover in leadership, which I
11	think is a good thing.
12	MR. TURNER: Any feelings should
13	we have an ascending chair? Anybody disagree with the
14	idea of having an ascending chair?
15	Elections, super majority vote.
16	You got to get notice within seven days, prior to the
17	election. No, no, that's not right. You can't elect
18	any officers at a special meeting, unless you've given
19	notice at least seven days in advance.
20	MR. THOMASSY: You mentioned super
21	majority vote. Probably ought to have some discussion
22	there. Do we need a super majority vote, in order to
23	have an election of officials or only a simple

1	majority?
2	Of course, when you're talking
3	about a quorum, that's the problem.
4	MR. TURNER: Right, we haven't
5	gotten to quorum or to the election or to the voting
6	provisions, yet.
7	MR. THOMASSY: Okay.
8	MR. TURNER: I think, you know, at
9	the time of election, I guess, on adoption of the
10	bylaws or I think y'all elected me to a five month
11	term at the first meeting. I would suggest that,
12	though, that we consider having an election of the
13	first community co-chairman and vice chair at the time
14	we adopt these bylaws or some time pretty soon
15	thereof. I mean, there is no the whole point was
16	to have somebody sitting up here until we had you
17	know, we got some bylaws and it got organized. And we
18	might provide for that in here. Just that the interim
19	officers term expires upon adoption of these bylaws
20	and they'll have an election immediately after that.
21	Anybody got a problem with that?
22	MR. ANDERSON: Why don't we just
23	set a month for election?

1	MR. TURNER: Well, that's assuming
2	we can get some bylaws adopted by that month.
3	MR. JOHNSON: What are you shooting
4	for on that?
5	MR. TURNER: Next month, I would
6	think. It looks like we're cruising through these
7	pretty quickly with no real objections. I ought to be
8	able to make the changes and have them out by, I think
9	certainly, by the third Monday in August, we ought to
10	have a set of bylaws that are ready to be adopted.
11	What do y'all think?
12	MR. THOMASSY: No objection.
13	MR. ANDERSON: Are we still on
14	3.31?
15	MR. TURNER: No, sir.
16	MR. GARY HARVEY: Here.
17	MR. TURNER: Yes, yes, we are.
18	MR. ANDERSON: I would suggest just
19	a word, that your subsequent election of officers, and
20	just delete the names of the four types, because
21	that's specified elsewhere in the bylaws.
22	MR. TURNER: And also, as Mr. Parks
23	nointed out to me. I mentioned the

1	secretary-treasurer, of which we'll have none.
2	MR. ANDERSON: Right.
3	MR. TURNER: So
4	MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good.
5	MR. TURNER: And all we'll do,
6	after the election of the initial chairman is elect
7	vice chairmen after that.
8	Only regular members may vote.
9	Vacancies. I think that's that vice chairman serves
10	out unexpired term.
11	MR. LEVY: You need to change that
12	to community chairperson, not just chairperson.
13	MR. TURNER: Yes. And if the vice
14	chairperson expires; that is, we have no officer, we
15	immediately hold an election.
16	Can't remove an officer without
17	super majority vote. Any officer may resign. And the
18	initial terms. And we'll just fill in the date that
19	we adopted here under 3.8.
20	Meetings, third Monday, 6:30 here.
21	MR. THOMASSY: That building
22	number?
23	MR. TURNER: Is that right?

1	MR. LEVY: No.
2	MR. REEDY: 141-A.
3	MR. LEVY: 141-A. I will tell you,
4	too, that we don't have to have it here. We've set
5	this up just to do just that.
6	MR. CONROY: This is a good place,
7	too.
8	MR. ANDERSON: In fact, I had
9	intended to bring that up under new business, that we
10	need to consider taking our meetings to other places
11	like Piedmont and down to maybe the city auditorium or
12	something like that. So maybe this is a we don't
13	want to necessarily discuss that. Maybe we don't want
14	to specify a location in the bylaws.
15	MR. TURNER: Well, there is a
16	provision for moving it anywhere else.
17	MR. ANDERSON: Oh. Where?
18	MR. TURNER: So, that would I
19	could suggest that we not take this show on the road
20	until at least we know what we're doing. That, you
21	know, our
22	MR. ANDERSON: Other times and
23	places as designated. Got you. Sorry.

1	MR. TURNER: Anybody have any
2	feelings about our meetings and how they ought to be,
3	where they ought to be, and when they ought to be
4	designated as being there?
5	Special meetings called. Who could
6	call a special meeting? I would say that the
7	chairperson ought to be able to, or can anybody else
8	call a special meeting? Can it be done by a written
9	petition? I mean, are we
10	MR. PEREZ: Is there any reason why
11	the co-chairman might
12	MR. LEVY: It would be nice for me,
13	in case there is some reason why I need some
14	additional advice
15	MR. TURNER: So, the
16	co-chairperson.
17	MR. LEVY: The chairperson.
18	MR. TURNER: Anybody have a problem
19	with that?
20	All our meetings are public.
21	Anybody have a problem with that?
22	Attendance, number 9 was developed
23	at our first meeting. Anybody have a problem with

1	that? That's a pretty stiff attendance requirement.
2	We've already got a member or two
3	who haven't shown up to any of the meetings, and if
4	these were adopted, would be automatically removed.
5	MR. CONROY: On three sequential
6	misses in a row, then you're off. That is pretty
7	tough. It's tougher than most organizations I'm
8	involved with.
9	MR. TURNER: And this is a
10	volunteer advisory board. Is it too tough? Should it
11	be three in a row?
12	MR. CONROY: I'm leaning towards
13	MR. LEVY: That means you could
14	miss every other one and not get kicked off?
15	MR. PEREZ: Or would this include
16	the training sessions?
17	MR. TURNER: That's something I
18	really want to talk about.
19	MR. PEREZ: Because then it gets
20	touchy. You've got to know what you're doing. So,
21	you've got to be here to find out and learn.
22	MR. TURNER: And does it include
23	coming for fifteen minutes and then leaving? You

1	know, having your name being here for role call and
2	then getting out. I think this is something we need
3	to address because it's
4	MR. CONROY: I know I have two
5	conflicts already over the next six months that I just
6	can't avoid. Got to go out of state and give some
7	talks and things like that. So, I'm one away. And
8	I've already read this whole thing and I'm thinking, I
9	would like to see them relaxed a little bit, maybe two
10	I don't know how many
11	MR. TURNER: Maybe you can't miss
12	anymore than four, no more than three in a row.
13	MR. CONROY: That sounds fine.
14	MR. PEREZ: Maybe attach that to a
15	time frame, too.
16	MR. TURNER: Within a year.
17	MR. PEREZ: We have twelve in a
18	year, right?
19	MR. TURNER: We have twelve regular
20	meetings in a year. You couldn't miss anymore than
21	four, nor could you miss if you missed either three
22	in a row or four during twelve months, then you would
23	be off.

1	MR. LEVY: And I would tell you
2	that at some point, you might want to go to a
3	quarterly basis on the terms of your meetings. After
4	we get through all this stuff and we start talking,
5	you may slow down somewhat. A year, two years down
6	the road, that we're looking at going to a quarterly
7	basis. What does Nadick do?
8	MR. HUTCHENS: They're still
9	monthly, but they're taking a break for summer and
10	they don't have one at Christmas.
11	MR. PEREZ: Are we considering the
12	training meetings, along with the regular twelve
13	MR. TURNER: Well, it's been
14	included in the two that we've had training. I mean,
15	just in the it's part of the agenda. Yes, it would
16	be part of the meetings, that the training is
17	necessarily included.
18	MR. THOMASSY: Why don't we add
19	this, add some stipulation such as what you've
20	mentioned, maybe three consecutive or over four or
21	four out of any one year, and then the individual is
22	voted on by the board as to whether or not he's
23	removed?

1	MR. TURNER: I think that's a good
2	idea. Can they provide a defense?
3	MR. THOMASSY: Yes, if a person
4	MR. TURNER: Can you come in and
5	say, you know, my dog got run over right before that
6	meeting and bring a little excuse from the vet?
7	MR. THOMASSY: I want to see the
8	dog. But, yes, I think there are mitigating
9	circumstances that we have to give some flexibility to
10	and the board ought to have that option.
11	MR. TURNER: I think that's an
12	excellent suggestion. Does anybody have a problem
13	with that?
14	MR. REEDY: So, how are you going
15	to write this up, Charles, just for information
16	purposes?
17	MR. TURNER: How am I going to
18	write this up?
19	MR. REEDY: It's four over a year,
20	three in a row, and when somebody misses three in a
21	row or four over a year, then y'all are going to get
22	together and decide whether you want to give them a
23	call and find out if they're still with us or

1	whatever.
2	MR. TURNER: I would say if they
3	didn't show up to that next meeting, after they went
4	into default on attendance, that they would probably
5	be voted out. I mean, I think it would just come up
6	on the agenda.
7	MR. REEDY: So, it would take a
8	vote, even if they did
9	MR. TURNER: Yes. That's what
10	Mr. Thomassy has proposed and I think it's a good
11	idea.
12	MR. PEREZ: It's a good idea.
13	MR. TURNER: It takes the harness
14	out of volunteering.
15	Notice in the mail with an agenda
16	and minutes of the last meeting. Order of business
17	MR. THOMASSY: And I would
18	recommend a super majority vote on that, that way you
19	don't end up with just a few people in a meeting that
20	that person is voted on.
21	MR. TURNER: Okay. By super
22	majority vote. Anybody got a problem with super
23	majority vote on removing a member?
	<u> </u>

1	Order of business, do you want me
2	to make B training and just move everything else down
3	one?
4	MR. THOMASSY: (Nods head in the
5	affirmative.)
6	MR. TURNER: Conflicts, Mr. Parks
7	brought up an excellent point and that is that there
8	are several people, regular members, or what would be
9	regular members that work for the government. I would
10	say that at some point during the course of this, the
11	government and the citizens of Calhoun County might
12	have a conflict of interest. So, I'm open to
13	suggestions.
14	MR. PEREZ: Didn't we say before
15	that if there is a conflict of interest, then that
16	person absentees himself from the vote?
17	MR. TURNER: Or discloses it,
18	anyway.
19	MR. PEREZ: Well, they better
20	disclose it.
21	MR. TURNER: Disclose it. And once
22	it's disclosed for instance, I imagine Mr. Parks
23	can come up with several scenarios very quickly that

1	would be would qualify as conflicts that I mean,
2	we might spend a good part of every meeting discussing
3	conflicts of interest, I think is what might have been
4	one of the things Mr. Parks was thinking about.
5	MR. PARKS: Since I'm employed by
6	the government, the word "employer" there, you know,
7	if it comes to a vote, I work for the Army and I'm
8	also a member of the community.
9	MR. THOMASSY: But you're here in
10	your capacity as a community member, not an official
11	member of the government, where Mr. Levy is here in
12	his capacity as a government employee.
13	MR. LEVY: I am the only one here
14	in an official capacity.
15	MR. THOMASSY: And he is the only
16	one. This is an advisory board. We're not going to
17	make big decisions, as far as I can see, that are so
18	binding or have any financial
19	MR. ANDERSON: That's right.
20	MR. THOMASSY: benefit or
21	long-term power benefit for anybody, so, I don't think
22	we ought to dwell on this to any great extent.
23	MR. TURNER: I'll take it out. It

1	was in a set of bylaws
2	MR. ANDERSON: We're not going to
3	obligate the government to spend any money. From what
4	Joe Doyle has beat into my head about COI, the only
5	thing you need to worry about is if there is a
6	pecuniary relationship. If there's not, then the
7	government employee and Don Cunningham falls in the
8	same category does not have that kind of conflict
9	of interest.
10	MR. TURNER: Let's cut it out.
11	MR. THOMASSY: Yes.
12	MR. TURNER: Quorum, how many
13	members does it take to make a quorum? We've got
14	seventeen members.
15	MR. LEVY: Go back to disclosure.
16	MR. TURNER: They've took that
17	out. We took out the whole provision on conflict of
18	interest. 4.71 and 2.
19	Any question about cutting out all
20	of the conflict of interest? There is a very good
21	point, we don't have any money to spend, so we can't
22	
23	MR. LEVY: But we do.

1	MR. TURNER: What do you mean?
2	MR. LEVY: We have some money.
3	MR. TURNER: Are you talking about
4	your money jar over there?
5	MR. ANDERSON: For mediation or
6	anything like that?
7	MR. LEVY: No, not that kind of
8	money, but we have money. There is money available to
9	the RAB to support the public participation, to
10	provide the information going out to to do the
11	thing like what we're doing with the transcripts, to
12	it's not a whole lot of money, but, yes, there is
13	money to support us. And I'm going to talk about that
14	at future meetings, possibly even setting up a budget
15	as it goes along with that.
16	MR. TURNER: I don't think that's
17	the same kind of money that, you know, is saying we've
18	got a hundred thousand dollars, should we clean
19	take care of landfill number three or
20	MR. LEVY: The money is for
21	administrative support of the RAB.
22	MR. PEREZ: Well then that's not
23	involved really

1	MR. TURNER: I don't think it gives
2	rise to the need for a conflict of interest.
3	MR. PEREZ: No.
4	MR. LEVY: I won't let you do
5	anything that you're not supposed to do with it,
6	anyway, because those are the requirements. And there
7	are some strict requirements, as far as what you can
8	do with it.
9	MR. TURNER: Are we in agreement,
10	that the conflict of interest provision should be
11	excised?
12	Quorum, how many people does it
13	take for us to do business? I've gotten ten. Mr.
14	Parks pointed out that's an even number and lends
15	itself to tie votes. Should it be eleven?
16	MR. PEREZ: Uh-huh.
17	MR. TURNER: So then simple
18	majority would be six, super majority would be eight,
19	right?
20	MR. CONROY: They're even numbers.
21	MS. HARRINGTON: Back to even
22	numbers again.
23	MR. THOMASSY: But that's okay,

1	because that's
2	MR. TURNER: That's just for
3	passing the action. It would take eleven regular
4	members before we could do anything. And of those
5	eleven, eight would have to agree for super majority
6	votes, six for simple majority.
7	Do those numbers sound good to
8	y'all?
9	MR. PEREZ: It's a good starting
10	point. This thing can be flexible in the future,
11	can't it?
12	MR. TURNER: Absolutely. We can
13	change the bylaws at any time. So, let's change these
14	numbers. That's six and this is eight on super
15	majority. That's half of the membership. I think
16	that's a good sampler or almost half of the vote.
17	MR. THOMASSY: Eleven, you're
18	talking about.
19	MR. TURNER: No proxies; that is, I
20	can't call Mr. Thomassy in advance and say, this is
21	how I want to vote on this.
22	MR. THOMASSY: No proxies.
23	MR. HOOD: Could you back up to

1	5.3?
2	MR. TURNER: Sure.
3	MR. HOOD: I'm not a lawyer, so I'm
4	not sure I understand what you're trying to say here.
5	I'm assuming it would mean two/thirds.
6	MR. TURNER: No, sir, it would take
7	eight votes. On a super majority, it would take eight
8	out of seventeen it would take eight votes to say
9	elect an officer or to change the bylaws or to remove
10	a member.
11	MR. HOOD: So, if we have seventeen
12	people here and eight say that they want to do that,
13	then that's a super majority. Is that what you're
14	saying?
15	MR. ANDERSON: This is only of a
16	quorum.
17	MR. TURNER: Only of a quorum.
18	MR. HOOD: That's what I'm saying.
19	I'm not a lawyer, so it doesn't say that to me.
20	Nowhere does it say two/thirds, which it looks like
21	what you're shooting at.
22	MR. TURNER: That's what Roberts
23	provides for. And as I mentioned before, I adopted

1	these bylaws from another set I did.
2	MR. HOOD: Yes, but even our United
3	States Constitution says two/thirds.
4	MR. THOMASSY: This is more than
5	two/thirds
6	MR. HOOD: Well, whatever you're
7	going by.
8	MR. THOMASSY: because we define
9	a quorum as eleven, then you're talking about seven
10	out of that.
11	MR. HOOD: So, if you got thirteen
12	people that show up to make it, how many does it take
13	to make a super majority?
14	MR. THOMASSY: Then it's just a
15	majority.
16	MR. HOOD: No. To make a super
17	majority?
18	MR. THOMASSY: To make a super
19	majority, it's still eight?
20	MR. HOOD: Even
21	MR. THOMASSY: But if it's
22	seventeen, then it's got to be a majority, which means
23	

1	MR. ANDERSON: No. If sixteen of
2	the people show up, we're still in quorum, we're not
3	at
4	MR. TURNER: I think we go along
5	with Mr. Hood and just make it two/thirds. How do you
6	make two/thirds of seventeen?
7	MR. HOOD: The next highest number,
8	you round up.
9	MR. TURNER: Round up.
10	MR. ANDERSON: I have a question
11	that comes out of 5.2.
12	MR. TURNER: Okay.
13	MR. ANDERSON: And it sort of comes
14	from watching what happened with the board of the CAA.
15	Is there any pecuniary or any kind of liability
16	we're opening ourselves to by this statement by saying
17	that we're assenting to the action taken and so on?
18	MR. TURNER: Since we don't have
19	any money, I don't see how we can be liable. I mean,
20	we aren't going to have government cars that RAB
21	cars that we drive.
22	MR. ANDERSON: More of what I was
23	thinking of is, if a citizen of the community decides

1	to sue because they didn't like the fact that we left
2	the Choccolocco Hills pristine
3	MR. LEVY: But you didn't. You had
4	nothing to do with this. You're advising us only.
5	It's still the government
6	MR. TURNER: And there is nothing
7	that we can do that would prevent some crack pipe from
8	suing us. But there is also nothing on earth that
9	would prevent a circuit judge from dismissing it on
10	purely an advisory board would be my suggestion.
11	Though that's not legal advice.
12	MR. ANDERSON: That's right.
13	MR. TURNER: If y'all want to
14	retain me, I'll give you some.
15	No, I don't see that we're going to
16	expose ourselves to any liability. In fact, I took
17	out indemnification, I believe, because it's just not
18	an issue.
19	Are we ready to move on?
20	Committees, charter and membership, community
21	relations and selection committee. We can add or
22	delete by simple majority vote. The selection
23	committee, I'm going to have to put we're going to

1	have to come up with mission statements or something
2	along those lines.
3	I see that the community relations
4	committee has already drafted a set of bylaws.
5	MR. LEVY: Wait a second, I'm not a
6	regular member, based on
7	MR. TURNER: You aren't a regular
8	member.
9	MR. LEVY: You're saying charter
10	membership committees, regular members of RAB, and I'm
11	not.
12	MR. TURNER: No, you're on
13	community relations.
14	MR. LEVY: I'm sorry.
15	MR. TURNER: But you're right, the
16	same is valid. I'm going to have to redefine you,
17	anyway, in the bylaws and I'll make it so that you
18	fit.
19	MR. JOE BAKER: (Inaudible.)
20	COURT REPORTER: Who is that? I
21	can't hear him.
22	MR. LEVY: Joe Baker from the
23	public affairs office.

1	MR. BAKER: I just didn't
2	understand what he was hitting upon in 6.2.2, where it
3	says, membership upon this committee may be held only
4	by regular members. And that does not include
5	Mr. Levy.
6	MR. TURNER: Right, just may only
7	be held by regular members of the RAB and by the DoD
8	chairperson.
9	MR. ANDERSON: Should it not be for
10	any of committees, in case we want to change in the
11	future, Ron gets tired of doing this?
12	MR. TURNER: Yes. I was going to
13	do that.
14	Selection committee. We talked
15	about this, the selection committee ought to be made
16	up of people not on the RAB. That's how we were
17	selected.
18	Anybody feel any differently about
19	that?
20	We'll have a problem of selecting
21	the selection committee if say we go a year and
22	MS. LONGSTRETH: Would it be
23	possible for members of the RAB to suggest people to

1	this selection committee, if there is a vacancy?
2	MR. TURNER: Or we could do away
3	with the whole concept of selection committee and then
4	just bring it up, make it an agenda item and vote on
5	it, you know. We solicit applications, we review the
6	applications, and we vote on them. And that way, we
7	don't have some satellite committee that we have to
8	worry about keeping full of members and all that.
9	Should we just do away with the
10	selection committee? Seems easier.
11	MR. REEDY: Excuse me, Carles?
12	MR. TURNER: Yes, sir.
13	MR. REEDY: If you do away with the
14	selection committee, then don't you need to go back
15	somewhere and put in y'all's laws, how you're going to
16	put in say, if you know Mr. Thomassy pulls
17	MR. TURNER: I'm going to go back
18	and fix that under membership. I'm already adding a
19	provision about adding members and I'll just put it in
20	there.
21	MS. LONGSTRETH: I thought I was
22	told at the last meeting that the selection committee
23	was not of this group, that it was selected of by

1	the selection committee was of the Army.
2	MR. TURNER: The Army selected the
3	selection committee, but the problem is maintaining a
4	committee that somebody is going to have to make
5	appointments to it, somebody is going to have to keep
6	it full, and that is, what if two members of the
7	selection committee move off and then we're stuck with
8	trying to select other members to an impartial board
9	and all that? The more you can tell that the
10	reason I didn't draft any duties to it was because it
11	gave me a headache, just trying to figure out how all
12	that could be done. And also on page eight of nine,
13	it's occurred to me that we might want to start
14	simplifying to an extent. And that seems like a good
15	place to start.
16	Chairpersons, I would suggest that
17	one of the community chairperson serve as the chairman
18	of one committee and the DoD chairperson serve as the
19	chairperson of the other committee. That way you
20	don't have little elections going on all the time and
21	all that.
22	What do y'all think?
23	MR. ANDERSON: Well, in the next

1	set of committee reports, you're going to find out who
2	got elected chairperson of the community relations
3	committee.
4	MR. LEVY: My only problem is I
5	don't really need anymore duties than I've already
6	got. I just wish to beg off of them.
7	MR. TURNER: I don't care anything
8	about I mean, I'm an interim chairperson. But, you
9	know, it's just another job. I was thinking about
10	simplicity. If y'all wanted to have elected
11	chairpersons to each of these committees, then I think
12	that's a great idea.
13	MR. ANDERSON: We just sort of did
14	it.
15	MR. TURNER: Anybody have any
16	feeling on it?
17	MR. LEVY: You already heard mine.
18	MR. TURNER: You can't vote.
19	MR. LEVY: Yes. I ain't got no say
20	so in it, anyway.
21	MR. TURNER: What do y'all think,
22	chairpersons of the subcommittees or not?
23	MR. PARKS: That sounds fine.

1	You're going to have more meetings. And like you
2	said, it simplifies things. Then we'll be calling
3	committee meetings and all that.
4	MR. TURNER: So, you think have an
5	elected chairperson of each subcommittee?
6	MR. PARKS: Have like you said
7	before, previously, two co-chairs chairmen of the
8	committee, because Mr. Levy came up
9	MR. CONROY: He don't want to do
10	it.
11	MR. LEVY: I'll do whatever you
12	tell me to do.
13	MR. TURNER: Mr. Parks says, let
14	the RAB chairpersons act as chairpersons of the
15	subcommittees.
16	Anybody feel differently about it?
17	MR. LEVY: Well
18	MR. TURNER: Can't vote. Anybody
19	else?
20	MR. ANDERSON: Well, not to
21	complicate things, at all, but I guess that would
22	invalidate the actions we took the other day to
23	include drafting of committee bylaws and that type of

1	thing, so, I don't know
2	MR. TURNER: Since
3	MR. ANDERSON: I hate to throw away
4	work we've already done.
5	MR. TURNER: I don't see any reason
6	why your work still can't be used. I mean, if the
7	I'd say that nobody is going to hall us into court if
8	the community relation subcommittee wants to have a
9	different chairperson other than Mr. Levy, especially
10	when Mr. Levy wants there to be a different
11	chairperson.
12	I was just trying to think of ways
13	to keep our bylaws simpler, rather than making them
14	brogue, because we would need to provide for ways of
15	electing them and filling of the membership of these
16	committees, making sure they were balanced. And I
17	thought perhaps that would be something we would leave
18	to a more pragmatic solution.
19	Yes, sir, Mr. Reedy?
20	MR. REEDY: Couldn't they, in
21	keeping with your goal of keeping it simple, if you
22	guys select a committee and really what you did was

1	just couldn't y'all just trust that the committee
2	would have sense enough to get a chairperson elected
3	the way that they wanted elected?
4	MR. TURNER: Yes. I think that's a
5	good idea.
6	MS. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
7	MR. TURNER: Just leave it just
8	like it is and let the committees deal with it on
9	their own.
10	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
11	MR. PARKS: You can get you a
12	deputy.
13	MR. TURNER: That's right. I'm
14	sure DoD will give you some money for that.
15	Effective date upon approval,
16	amendment. Do we want amendments to this by super
17	majority vote?
18	MR. ANDERSON: Uh-huh.
19	MR. REEDY: Two/thirds.
20	MR. TURNER: Approval and amendment
21	by super majority vote. Did I leave anything out? If
22	I left anything out, we can deal with it later.
23	I'm going to make these changes.

1	I'll get them out to you as quickly as I can. You'll
2	notice that when I suggest that I might get them to
3	you by a certain date, that you can add two weeks to
4	that. And I would propose that this will be no
5	different. But I'll get them to you as quickly as I
6	can. There aren't that many changes really.
7	MR. ELSER: On these amendments,
8	may be amended by a super majority. Do we also change
9	that to two/thirds or does that stand
10	MR. TURNER: I think we define
11	super majority vote and then just refer back to it.
12	Define it once. And the way y'all seemed happy with
13	defining it was two/thirds of the regular members.
14	MR. HOOD: Present.
15	MR. TURNER: Present.
16	MR. HOOD: Two/thirds of those
17	present, that way you could provide that you have
18	enough for a quorum. You already defined that as ten.
19	MR. TURNER: Yes, two/thirds of a
20	quorum.
21	MR. HOOD: Yes. Or those present,
22	because
23	MR. TURNER: Right, yes. Anything

1	else?
2	Well, that was fun. And community
3	relations, it looks like y'all have got something to
4	report about.
5	MR. ANDERSON: Got a couple of
6	things. Let me go ahead and pass some things on here,
7	if I may. Let's see, if you would, take those
8	MR. TURNER: Did we resolve at our
9	last meeting or is this another (inaudible), that if
10	we went past two hours, that we had to have an
11	affirmative vote to continue?
12	MR. ANDERSON: No.
13	MR. TURNER: Okay. I thought we
14	had automatic adjournment.
15	MR. ANDERSON: What I've got to
16	report on here, if we could, I've got a set of just
17	real brief minutes. These have not been approved by
18	the committee, but I'm using them as a report right
19	now to you, anyway. The members present when we met
20	on July the 11th; myself, Don Cunningham, Mike Brown,
21	Ed Kimbreau, and Ron Levy. Mr. Levy called us to
22	order and so on. Y'all can read that.
23	The main things that we covered, we

1	drafted a set of bylaws, which I believe is on your
2	table with the other information that the director
3	environment so graciously had copied and presented to
4	us here. I won't belabor the evening with a
5	discussion of the bylaws, except to say that
6	basically, we just set ourselves up a charter
7	whereupon we said that we weren't going to do anything
8	publicly without discussing it with the entire group,
9	first.
10	Mr. Levy made some changes in the
11	bylaws and we added a clause about environmental
12	justice that you'll see is I believe that's bylaw
13	item number eight.
14	The second thing is: Since there
15	was a quorum present, or at least we thought there was
16	a quorum present at that time, it went ahead by a
17	voice vote and made me the chair.
18	We talked then about developing
19	community relations plan. Everybody should have
20	gotten last meeting, a copy of the draft community
21	relations plan that the U. S. Army Environmental
22	Center did up for Fort McClellan.
23	What we're looking to do is:

1	Inside this document, it has a list. It says Fort
2	McClellan mailing list. We also got a hold of a copy
3	of a listing of organizations within the county that
4	the chamber of commerce has put together. And what
5	we're trying to do is to build a baseline list of
6	people and organizations that we will mail to.
7	MR. LEVY: Probably just LRA.
8	MR. ANDERSON: Right, see, I got my
9	copy of the same list from the chamber, but mox nix.
10	But anyway, the intent was, as you
11	see there, item number one, under C, we agreed to
12	build upon that list to create a baseline list of
13	organizations of people with which we would routinely
14	correspond.
15	Second thing is: We were going to
16	develop and mail a letter to organizations on the
17	baseline list. One of the handouts that I presented
18	to you was a draft of that letter. I would like too,
19	at this time, go ahead and quickly have you take a
20	moment to look at it. And unless there are any
21	objections, we plan to go ahead and use that letter to
22	send information to the community, that talks about
23	hey, we're here, here is the things that we can do for

1	you. And included in it is a listing that I wanted to
2	present printed for everybody's information, anyway,
3	of the documents that are available at the information
4	repositories. There are two information repositories,
5	one is at the Anniston Public Library, the other one
6	is at Fischer Library at the Army's chemical school
7	here on Fort McClellan. These are documents that are
8	available for the public to look at to give them
9	information about the clean-up activities, and also
10	look at a bunch of studies that have been done in the
11	past. It's a pretty comprehensive list of stuff.
12	The next thing is that we talked
13	about developing an information briefing, not later
14	than October 1st, from the information currently
15	available at the Director of Environment. We're going
16	to provide the full RAB with an outline for comment.
17	I'll provide that in September. And then we'll
18	provide copies of the briefing and materials in
19	advance of the October general meeting for approval of
20	the RAB at that meeting. And this is our canned, if
21	you will, information briefing that we'll be able to
22	have members present to the Lion's Club or New South
23	Coalition or whoever in the world wants us to come and

1	meet with them.
2	The next thing is: Back on this
3	community relations plan, we said that we need to
4	provide comments through Ron to the Army's
5	environmental center on their draft community
6	relations plan. And we didn't set a suspense date and
7	there isn't a date listed in the document, so I'm
8	going to need to have somebody let me know when do we
9	have to have our comments in on this community
10	relations plan.
11	And then finally, not later than
12	the September general meeting of the full board, we
13	wanted to develop our own set of implementing
14	instructions on how we're going to actually provide
15	information to the community, in terms of not just the
16	repository, outreach, community briefings, whatever it
17	is we're going to do to actually implement what we
18	said we're going to do in this document.
19	MR. JOE DOYLE: I think the
20	suspense on that document is 9 August, is what I'm
21	showing.
22	MR. LEVY: We might be able to
23	extend that a little bit.

1	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, we need to, if
2	we can. Since it's done by SAIC, it probably affects
3	contract deliverable schedules and so on. I don't
4	want to do anything untoward to my fellow contractors,
5	but we do need some time to look at this.
6	MR. LEVY: Let me look at that to
7	extend the time.
8	MR. ANDERSON: Subject to your
9	questions, that concludes my report on the community
10	relations committee.
11	MR. LEVY: You wanted to talk about
12	a mail box?
13	MR. ANDERSON: Under new business,
14	I have two motions under new business.
15	MR. ROB RICHARDSON: Rob
16	Richardson, with the Reuse Authority. If I could just
17	one more time publicly make myself available in an Ex
18	Officio capacity to the public relations committee. I
19	would be pleased to sit in on the meetings and be of
20	any assistance in any way that we might. I think it's
21	important to coordinate our efforts from the Reuse
22	Authority to the extent there is a message printed to
23	the public that there is some coordination. If that

117

1	would be helpful
2	MR. TURNER: I would personally,
3	I would like a little while to look over this stuff
4	and think about it. This is the first I've seen of
5	it. It looks like great ideas, but I find that, you
6	know, at ten minutes until 9:00, my brain is not
7	functioning the way it ought to be.
8	MR. ANDERSON: There is absolutely
9	nothing that says we need to get that letter out this
10	month. In fact, it's going to take probably the
11	better part of a month just to build the baseline list
12	of organizations. We're trying to find the word
13	processing files that this was built on. We
14	certainly, among ourselves, represent organizations,
15	so we would like to get that type of information in.
16	MR. LEVY: Can I get a disk from
17	you?
18	MR. ANDERSON: I've got the disk,
19	but it's not of this, it's not of that community
20	relations report, it's our names and those types of
21	things.
22	MR. TURNER: Do y'all want to go

23 ahead and take this up, now?

118

1	MR. PEREZ: No. Let's leave it for
2	the next put that on the agenda for the next
3	meeting.
4	MR. TURNER: I think that's a good
5	idea.
6	MR. ANDERSON: Please. It was not
7	intended to get shoved down your throat. I just
8	wanted to get it out there.
9	MR. TURNER: I didn't think that.
10	It was, I didn't think I was up to living this all the
11	consideration that it's due.
12	Well, thank you for your report.
13	Old business?
14	MR. ANDERSON: Telephone roster, if
15	it's still sitting out here, please, circulate it.
16	MR. TURNER: Can somebody lay their
17	hands on the telephone roster at this moment?
18	MR. ANDERSON: He's got it. And I
19	have the disk with the original.
20	MR. TURNER: Yes, you were the only
21	person whose fax number didn't work.
22	MR. ANDERSON: That's because it's
23	changed. I moved.

1	MR. TURNER: New business, Ron has
2	got
3	MR. LEVY: Yes, I wanted to talk
4	just real briefly. In the packets in front of you,
5	there is several things for you to take with you and
6	look over. One is a fast-track guide to clean up. It
7	talks about some successes of other installations and
8	some of the challenges that they've gone through. And
9	it will give you an understanding of the fast-track
10	clean up initiative that was put across by the
11	president in an executive order.
12	There is another document in there.
13	It's called A Guide to Assessing Reuse and Remedy
14	Alternatives at Closing Installations. Truly, we're
15	not at that point, yet, but something you could take
16	with you and look at.
17	Also, updating your RAB to meet
18	BRAC needs. Just a little bit of background
19	information for you.
20	And then this new one is a new
21	policy that came out. It's the role of the
22	Restoration Advisory Board in clean ups. It was
23	signed by the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Mr.

1	Walker. And it talks of different things that we are
2	going to get to such that we've already scheduled,
3	some of the training meetings, such as the assessment,
4	the risk assessment stuff, as well as talking about
5	the funding, some of the funding things.
6	So, at your leisure, if you would,
7	read those. Also, in front of you, for those of you
8	who did not get a copy, is a copy of the environmental
9	baseline survey for the installation. It's in a draft
10	form. We're providing it to you to take with you.
11	And again, you know, sit down and go through it and
12	provide us feedback to that. We have forty-five days
13	that we're looking to try to expand that a little bit
14	more, possibly even in November so that we can get as
15	much comment back from the community on it as
16	possible.
17	But that's probably the basis of
18	what we're going to be working with over the next
19	couple of years, this document. Another thing that
20	will come out of that is base clean-up plan, which
21	will be another thick document that talks about our
22	clean-up initiatives and how we're going to do it.
23	I apologize, but this is probably a

1	very small portion of what we've got in terms of
2	information out there. This is there is so much
3	information as it relates to, you know, what's going
4	on at Fort McClellan, its past history, that I'm
5	afraid I'm going to end up overwhelming you at some
6	point, so we'll try and feed it to you a little at a
7	time. This document really is a compilation of all
8	the activities that's occurred in the past. So, it's
9	probably a good document, as an overview of Fort
10	McClellan.
11	When we start to get into some of
12	the real specific stuff, we'll start looking at their
13	RIFS or some of the site investigation reports. And
14	it has very technical, very site specific information.
15	But this one here is a requirement
16	under the law. And there's a surfer report in there
17	that we've got to do within eighteen months. And the
18	finish would be sometime in Novembr, so we're trying
19	to get that out to the community, though the Army has
20	wanted to complete that, what
21	MR. HUTCHENS: The end of the year,
22	I think they were looking to get most of them
23	completed within the Army.

1	MR. LEVY: It's the same document
2	that John Herbert briefed us on at the previous RAB
3	meeting. It's a lot of good information in there, a
4	lot of great background stuff. So, if for nothing
5	else, take it home and get yourself familiar with
6	what's going on.
7	And if you can provide us with any
8	feedback, we're looking forward to that kind of thing.
9	MR. JOHNSON: How is that going to
10	work, Ron? Are they going to provide written comments
11	to you?
12	MR. LEVY: I will take it in any
13	form that you can give it to me. If you want to pick
14	the phone up and call me and say, I think such and
15	such is not quite we'll take it, anyway. Prefer
16	written, written comments, but if you just need to
17	pick the phone up and call me, we'll take that, too.
18	MR. REEDY: Ron, will you let us
19	know what
20	MR. LEVY: Yes, anything that you
21	provide me will also be provided to Chris and Bart, in
22	terms of their review of the document.
23	That's all I've got.

1	MR. TURNER: The only thing I say
2	before we adjourn
3	MR. ANDERSON: I have two motions,
4	if I may.
5	MR. TURNER: Two motions?
6	MR. ANDERSON: Two motions, if I
7	may, very quickly. The first motion is and it came
8	to my attention, as we were drafting this letter
9	today, you know, you want to go to some kind of
10	letterhead. And the first thing that struck me was,
11	if we use Fort McClellan as our address, it looks like
12	a government document, rather than from the community.
13	So, I make a motion that we secure
14	a post office box as a permanent address of the RAB,
15	that we secure five keys for the box, and that we
16	request the government reimburse our agent for the
17	cost associated with the box and the keys.
18	MR. LEVY: I believe we have money
19	to do that, if that's what the RAB wants to do.
20	MR. TURNER: I would like to know
21	before I voted to approve it, who is going to hold the
22	five keys?
23	MR. ANDERSON: I just

1	MR. TURNER: Shouldn't there be
2	seventeen keys?
3	MR. ANDERSON: Could, except can we
4	get a second and first?
5	MR. TURNER: Is there a second?
6	MR. THOMASSY: I think we need to
7	discuss it a little more, because I'm still not
8	convinced we need to go off Fort McClellan. If we
9	have your address or Fort McClellan address, what's
10	the difference? I mean, we're talking about
11	businesses and other organizations (inaudible). I
12	don't see the perception problem. I don't see the
13	need to go through all of that.
14	MR. PEREZ: Let's discuss this at
15	the next meeting. I do see somewhat of a perception
16	problem there.
17	MR. TURNER: Mark, I would ask that
18	if you've got new business to bring up, that you get
19	it on the agenda in the future, because I mean, it's
20	just hard to make a decision just out of left field.
21	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I thought
22	that's what new business was for, but
23	MR. TURNER: I mean, but it still

Τ	deserves an agenda item as a way of classifying what
2	we do.
3	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Then, please,
4	add another item on meeting location to the agenda for
5	next time, as well.
6	MR. LEVY: Okay, we'll do that.
7	MR. JOHNSON: Is everybody being
8	contacted, as far as the agenda? How is that set up,
9	how are y'all doing that?
10	MR. LEVY: It goes out everybody
11	here got a letter. We send a letter with the agenda
12	and the minutes of the last meeting, and signed by me.
13	And that's the way we'll continue it, unless you want
14	me to do something different.
15	MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Apparently, if
16	we're wanting to schedule new business, we need to
17	make sure that do we want to let it be known that
18	the next months meeting that we're going to
19	MR. TURNER: Bring up new business,
20	yes. That's the way it's typically done. Now, I
21	don't have any problem with just bringing stuff in out
22	of from the floor. The problem is that people
22	don't have time to consider it in advance. They don't

1	really have any advance warning that it's going to
2	come up.
3	And I think Roberts calls for the
4	chairman being responsible for constructive
5	amendments. I don't have any feeling about being
6	warned in advance, but I would suggest that anybody
7	that has something they want on the agenda, that they
8	contact either Ron or Lisa and get it on the agenda so
9	that everybody will know that it's there.
10	MR. LEVY: There is no reason why
11	they couldn't call me during the month and ask to have
12	it put on there.
13	MR. TURNER: I think that's the
14	appropriate way of getting it done.
15	MR. LEVY: Well, then it's my
16	fault, because he did bring it up to me during the
17	month and I didn't put it on the agenda.
18	MR. ANDERSON: And, of course,
19	there is nothing that says we can't make the motion,
20	get it seconded and then table all discussion until
21	the following month so we have time to think about it,
22	as well.
23	MR. PEREZ: Something may come up,

1	like during a meeting.
2	MR. TURNER: Yes. I mean, it's
3	just that agenda items typically appear on the agenda.
4	MR. PEREZ: True. But if something
5	new pops up right during a meeting, that requires some
6	analysis and I don't feel like voting tonight on
7	what he proposed, so, we delay it until the next
8	meeting.
9	MR. TURNER: I think that's fine.
10	Anything else? I was going to say something
11	MR. LEVY: Yes, I've got one other
12	thing, just a point of information. The public
13	scoping meeting for the disposal environmental impact
14	is scheduled for 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August the 6th.
15	There will also be letters going out on that.
16	As members of the RAB, I would ask
17	your participation on that. It will be at the post
18	theater here on Fort McClellan.
19	MR. TURNER: When is it again,
20	August the 6th?
21	MR. LEVY: August the 6th.
22	MR. TURNER: At what time?
23	MR. LEVY: 7:00 p.m.

128

1	MR. PEREZ: You're sending us a
2	letter on that?
3	MR. LEVY: Yes, we'll send a
4	letter. Wait a second, I may be wrong. I think Gary
5	was right, 7:00. Yes, I'm sorry, the 6th is the
6	meeting that we've got on the IPR. I'm sorry. I
7	stand corrected. 7
8	MR. TURNER: August 7th, 7:00 p.m.?
9	MR. LEVY: In any event, there will
10	be a letter coming out on that. We're going to try to
11	include those
12	MR. THOMASSY: Post theater at what
13	time did you say?
14	MR. LEVY: 7:00 p.m.
15	MR. TURNER: On the 7th.
16	MR. THOMASSY: And this is on the
17	disposal?
18	MR. LEVY: Remember, I told you
19	about there is a separate, concurrent process under
20	NEPA, National Environmental Policy Act, that talks
21	about the reuse alternatives on Fort McClellan. It
22	evaluates the reuse alternatives of Fort McClellan.
23	That's the environmental impact statement. We have

1	under law of requirement to do an environmental impact
2	statement for disposal of the land.
3	MR. TURNER: What I'm thinking is,
4	our meeting is running too long. We need to figure a
5	way to get them shorter.
6	MR. CONROY: Move to adjourn.
7	MR. TURNER: Second.
8	MR. THOMASSY: Third.
9	MR. PEREZ: Fourth.
10	(WHEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY )
4	
5	I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of
7	Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
13	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
14	without adjournment.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
23	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama,

1	on this the 28th day of July, 1996.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
8	Notary Public in and for
9	Alabama at Large
10	
11	
12	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-14-97.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	